Some common random fixed point theorems In S- metric Space with new type of rational contractive conditions.

Dr. Sameer Qasim Hasan Education college ,University of Mustansiriyah/ Baghdad. Email:dr-sameer kasim@yahoo.com Tamara Shihab Ahmed Education for pure Science College , University of Baghdad/ Baghdad.

Received on: 14/5/2014 & Accepted on: 4/12/2014

ABSTRACT

In this paper , we prove some common random fixed point theorems for new type of rational contraction mappings in generalized metric space which is called S - metric space .

Keywords : Rondom fixed point , common rondom fixed point ,rational contraction mapping , S-metric space .

بعض مبر هنات النقطة الصامدة العشوائية المشتر كةلصنف جديد من التطبيقات الانكماشية النسبية في فضاء-8- المترى

المستخلص

في هذا البحث برهنا بعض مبرهنا ت النقطة الصامدة العشوائية المشتركة لصنف جديد من التطبيقات الانكماشية النسبية في فضاءات مترية موسعة والتي عرفت بأسم فضاء -S- المتري. نتائجنا هي تعميم وتوسيع لبعض النتائج المعروفة.

الكلمات المفتاحية

النقطة الصامدة العشوائية النقطة الصامدة العشوائية المشتركة التطبيق الانكماشي النسبي فضاء -S- المتري .

INTRODUCTION

Probalistic functional analysis has emerged as one of the important mathematical disciplines in view of its role in analyzing probabilistic models in the applied sciences. The study of fixed points of rondom operaters form a central topic in the area .The prague school of probabilistic initiated its study in the 1950 .However, the research in thise area flourished after the publication of the survey article of Bharucha –Reid [1] .Since then many instructing random fixed point results and several applications have appeared in the literature for example the work of Beg and shahazad [2,3], Lin[4],Oregan [5],papag eargiou [6],Xu[7]. In recent years, the study of random fixed points has attracted much attention . In particular random iteration

https://doi.org/10.30684/etj.33.1B.11

2412-0758/University of Technology-Iraq, Baghdad, Iraq

This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0

schemes leading to random point of random operators have been discussed in [8,9,10,11,12,13,14].Recently, shaban and Nabi [15,16] introduced the concept of a generalized metric space which is more generalized of D – metric space and D^* -metric space that is S-metric space and they proved some basic properties and some fixed point theorems in S-metric space. In this paper, we prove some random fixed point and common random fixed point for random operaters satisfying new type of rational conditions which are more modifying than the results in [15] in the setting of S-metric space.

Preliminaries Definitions

Let X be nonempty set .A generalized metric (or S- metric) on X is a function S :: $X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ that satisfies the following conditions for each x,y,z,a $\in X$

1. $S(x, y, z) \ge 0$

2. S(x, y, z) = 0 if and only if x=y=z

3. $S(x, y, z) \le S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a)$

The pair (X,S) is called a generalized metric space or (S - metric) space.

Example

1. Let $X=R^n$ and ||.|| a norm on X, then S(x, y, z)=||x-z||+||y-z|| is an S-metric space.

2. Let X be non empty set, d is ordinary metric on X, then S(x, y, z)=d(x,z)+d(y,z) is an S-metric space.

As a special case of previous example (2) is the following :

Example

If X=R, define S(x,y,z)= $|a^{y+z}-a^{2x}|+|y-z|$ for every x,y,z,a $\in R$, a > 0, $a \neq 1$ Then (R,S) is S-metric space

For more examples of S-metric space we refer to [15].

Remark

In a S-metric space, proved that S(x,y,y) = S(y,x,x), for proof can see [15].

Remark

Let (X,S) be a S-metric space. If define $f : X \times X \to [0,\infty)$ as f(x,y) = S(x,y,y) for all $x,y \in X$ then f is an ordinary metric on X. For proof can see [15].

Let (X,S) be S-metric space .For r > 0 define $B_s(x,r) = \{y \in X : S(x, y, y) < r\}[15]$.

Example

Let X=R,denote S(x,y,z)= $|3^{y+z} - 3^{2x}| + |y-z|$ for all x,y,z $\in R$. Thus B_s(1,2)={ $y \in R: S(1, y, y) < 2$ } = { $y \in R: |3^{2y} - 3^2| < 2$ }

$$= \{ y \in R: \frac{\log_3^7}{2} < y < \frac{\log_3^{11}}{2} \}$$
$$= (\frac{\log_3^7}{2}, \frac{\log_3^{11}}{2}).$$

Definition

(Let (X,S) be S-metric space and $x \in X$:

1-If for every $x \in A$ there exists r > 0 such that $B_s(x,r) \subset \mathbb{P}^A$, then subset A is called open subset of X.

2- A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to x if and only if $S(x_n, x, x) = S(x, x_n, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that $\forall n \ge n_0 \rightarrow S(x, x_n, x_n) < \epsilon$.

3-A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that $S(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \epsilon$ for each $n, m \ge n_0$.

4-. The S-metric space (X,S) is said to be complete if every cauchy sequence is convergent

Let τ be the set of all $A \subset X$ if and only if there exists r > 0 such that $B_s(x,r) \subset \overline{R}A$. Then τ is a topology on X (induced by the S-metric).

Lemma

Let (X,S) be S-metric space .If r > 0,then ball $B_s(x,r)$ with centre $x \in X$ and Redius r is open ball . For proof can see [15].

Lemma

Let (X,S) be a S-metric space If sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X Converges to x, then x is unique .For proof can see [15].

Lemma

Let (X,S) be a S-metric space .The sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is Convergent to x,then sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence .For proof can see [15]

For more basic concepts in S- metric space, can see [15] . Now we will recall the following concepts which are necessary in this paper .(can see [4],[12],[14]).

Let (X,d) be metric space and (Ω, ε) be measurable space. Let 2^x be a family Of all subsets of X. A mapping T: $\Omega \to 2^x$ is called measurable if for any open Subset C of X, $T^{-1}(c) = \{w \in \Omega: T(w) \cap c \neq \emptyset\} \in \varepsilon A$ mapping $\varepsilon: \Omega \to X$ is said to be measurable selector of a measurable

Mapping T:D $\rightarrow 2^x$ if ε is measurable and for any w $\in \Omega$, $\varepsilon(w) \in T(w)$.

A mapping $f: \Omega \times X \to X$ is called random mapping, if for any $x \in X$, $f(\cdot, x)$ is Measurable .A measurable mapping $\varepsilon: \Omega \to X$ is called random fixed point of A random mapping $F: \Omega \times X \to X$, if for every $w \in \Omega$, $f(w, \varepsilon(w)) = \varepsilon(w)$.

In this paper we will use the previous concepts in the setting of S-metric space .

In the following section we take $\Omega = R$, where R is the set of real numbers.

Main results

Theorem(**3.1**)

Let (X,S) be complete S-metric space and let F,T :R× $X \to X$ be two random mappings satisfying the following condition : S(f(w,x),T(w,y),T(w,y)) ≤ $\alpha(w) \frac{[S(y,T(w,y),T(w,y))+S(x,F(w,x),F(w,x))]S(x,y,y)}{S(x,T(w,y),T(w,y))+S(y,F(w,x),F(w,x))]}$

$$+\beta(w)\frac{[S(x,T(w,y),T(w,y))+S(y,T(w,x),T(w,x))]}{S(y,T(w,y),T(w,y))+S(y,F(w,x),F(w,x))]} \dots (3.1.1)$$

Where

$$S(x, T(w, y), T(w, y)) + S(y, F(w, x), F(w, x)) \ge$$

$$S(y, T(w, y), T(w, y)) + S(x, F(w, x), F(w, x)) \qquad (3.1.2)$$

And $S(y, T(w, y), T(w, y)) + S(y, F(w, x), F(w, x)) \ge$

$$S(x, T(w, y), T(w, y)) + S(y, F(w, x), F(w, x)) \ge (2.12)$$

S(x, T(w, y), T(w, y)) + S(x, F(w, x), F(w, x))(3.1.3) For each $x, y \in X$, $w \in R$ and α, β are measurable mappings defined by $\alpha, \beta: R \rightarrow$ (0,1) with $0 \le \propto (w) + \beta(w) < 1$. Then there exists a unique common random fixed point of F and T.

Proof

Let $g_0 : \mathbb{R} \to X$ be an arbitrary measurable mapping and choose a measurable mapping $g_1 : \mathbb{R} \to X$ such that $g_1(w) = F$ (w, $g_0(w)$) for each $w \in R$. Then by(3.1.1) we have: $S(F (w, g_0(w)), T(w, g_1 (w)), T(w, g_1 (w))) \le (w, g_1(w), F(w, g_0(w)), F(w, g_0(w))) \le (g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))) \le (g_0(w), T(w, g_1(w))) + S(g_0(w), F(w, g_0(w)), F(w, g_0(w))) \le (g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))) + S(g_0(w), F(w, g_0(w)), F(w, g_0(w))) \le (g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w)) + S(g_0(w), F(w, g_0(w))) + S(g_0(w), F(w, g_0(w))) \le (g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w)) + S(g_0(w), F(w, g_0(w))) + S(g_0(w), F(w, g_0(w))) \le (g_1(w), F(w, g_1(w)), T(w, g_1(w))) + S(g_1(w), F(w, g_0(w))) + S(g_1(w), F(w, g_0(w))) \le (g_1(w), g_2(w), g_2(w)) = S(F (w, g_0(w)), T(w, g_1(w))) + S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))) \le \alpha(w) \frac{[S(g_1(w), g_2(w)), g_2(w)) + S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))]S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w)))}{S(g_0(w), g_2(w), g_2(w)) + S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))) \le (g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w)) + S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))) \le \alpha(w) \frac{[S(g_0(w), g_2(w)), g_2(w)) + S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))]S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w)))}{S(g_0(w), g_2(w), g_2(w)) + S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))) \le \alpha(w) \frac{[S(g_0(w), g_2(w)), g_2(w)) + S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))]S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w)))}{S(g_0(w), g_2(w), g_2(w)) + S(g_1(w), g_1(w))) \le \alpha(w) \frac{[S(g_0(w), g_2(w)), g_2(w)) + S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))]S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w)))}{S(g_0(w), g_2(w), g_2(w)) + S(g_1(w), g_1(w))) \le \alpha(w) \frac{[S(g_0(w), g_2(w)), g_2(w)] + S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))]S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))]}{S(g_0(w), g_2(w), g_2(w)) + S(g_1(w), g_1(w))) \le \alpha(w) \frac{[S(g_0(w), g_2(w)), g_2(w)] + S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))]S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))]}{S(g_0(w), g_2(w), g_2(w)) + S(g_1(w), g_1(w)))$

$$+ \beta(w) \frac{\left[S\left(g_{0}(w), g_{2}(w), g_{2}(w)\right) + S\left(g_{0}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w)\right)\right]S\left(g_{0}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w)\right)}{S\left(g_{1}(w), g_{2}(w), g_{2}(w)\right) + S\left(g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w)\right)}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\alpha(w)\left[S\left(g_{1}(w), g_{2}(w)\right), g_{2}(w)\right) + S\left(g_{0}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w)\right)\right]}{S\left(g_{0}(w), g_{2}(w), g_{2}(w)\right)} + S\left(g_{0}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w)\right)\right]}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\beta(w)\left[S\left(g_{0}(w), g_{2}(w), g_{2}(w)\right) + S\left(g_{0}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w)\right)\right)\right]}{\left[S\left(g_{1}(w), g_{2}(w)\right) + S\left(g_{0}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w)\right)\right]}\right)}\right)$$

By (3.1.2) and (3.1.3) we have :

 $S(g_1(w), g_2(w)), g_2(w)) \le (\alpha(w) + \beta(w)) S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))$

Let K =
$$(\alpha(w) + \beta(w))$$

So, $S(g_1(w), g_2(w), g_2(w)) \le K S(g_0(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))$ By Beg and Shah zad [2,lemma 2.3] we obtain $g_3 : \mathbb{R} \to X$ such that for all $w \in \mathbb{R}$, $g_3(w) = F(w, g_2(w))$ and $S(g_2(w), g_3(w), g_3(w)) = S(T(w, g_1(w)), F(w, g_2(w)), F(w, g_2(w)))$ $= S(F(w, g_2(w)), T(w, g_1(w)), T(w, g_1(w)))$

$$\leq \\ \alpha(w) \left\{ \frac{S(g_1(w), T(w, g_1(w)), T(w, g_1(w))) + S(g_2(w), F(w, g_2(w), F(w, g_2(w))))}{S(g_2(w), T(w, g_1(w))), T(w, g_1(w))) + S(g_1(w), F(w, g_2(w), F(w, g_2(w))))} \right\} \cdot \\ S(g_2(w), g_1(w), g_1(w))) \\ + \beta(w) \left\{ \frac{S(g_2(w), T(w, g_1(w)), T(w, g_1(w))) + S(g_2(w), F(w, g_2(w), F(w, g_2(w))))}{S(g_1(w), T(w, g_1(w))), T(w, g_1(w))) + S(g_1(w), F(w, g_2(w)), F(w, g_2(w))))} \right\} \\ = \alpha(w) \left\{ \frac{S(g_1(w), g_2(w)), g_2(w)) + S(g_2(w), g_3(w)), g_3(w))}{S(g_2(w), g_2(w)), g_2(w)) + S(g_1(w), g_3(w)), g_3(w))} \right\} S(g_2(w), g_1(w)), g_1(w)) \\ + \beta(w) \left\{ \frac{S(g_2(w), g_2(w)), g_2(w)) + S(g_2(w), g_3(w)), g_3(w))}{S(g_1(w), g_2(w)) + S(g_1(w), g_3(w)), g_3(w))} \right\} S(g_2(w), g_1(w)), g_1(w)) \\ \left\{ \frac{\alpha(w) [S(g_1(w), g_2(w)), g_2(w)) + S(g_2(w), g_3(w)), g_3(w))]}{S(g_2(w), g_2(w)) + S(g_2(w), g_3(w)), g_3(w))} \right\} S(g_2(w), g_1(w)), g_1(w))$$

$$= \left(\frac{\frac{\beta(w)[S(g_{1}(w),g_{2}(w)),g_{2}(w))+S(g_{2}(w),g_{3}(w))}{S(g_{1}(w),g_{2}(w)),g_{3}(w))}}{\left(\frac{\beta(w)[S(g_{2}(w),g_{3}(w)),g_{3}(w))}{S(g_{1}(w),g_{2}(w)),g_{2}(w))+S(g_{1}(w),g_{3}(w)),g_{3}(w))}\right)}\right)$$

By (3.1.2) and (3.1.3) we have :

$$S(g_{2}(w), g_{3}(w)), g_{3}(w)) \leq (\alpha(w) + \beta(w)) S(g_{2}(w), g_{1}(w)), g_{1}(w))$$

$$\leq K S(g_{2}(w), g_{3}(w)), g_{1}(w))$$
Also by remark (2.5) we have :

$$S(g_{2}(w), g_{3}(w)), g_{3}(w)) \leq K S(g_{1}(w), g_{2}(w)), g_{2}(w))$$

$$\leq K^{2}S(g_{0}(w), g_{1}(w)), g_{1}(w))$$
Similarly ,proceeding in the same way by induction we produce a sequence of mappings $g_{n}: R \rightarrow X$, such that for n>0 and any $w \in R$,
 $g_{2n+1}(w)=F(w, g_{2n}(w)), g_{2n+2}(w)=T(w, g_{2n+1}(w))$ and hence,
 $S(g_{n}(w), g_{n+1}(w), g_{n+1}(w)) \leq K^{n}S(g_{0}(w), g_{1}(w)), g_{1}(w))$)
Furthermore, for m > n,
 $S(g_{n}(w), g_{m}(w), g_{m}(w)) \leq S(g_{n+2}(w), g_{m}(w), g_{m}(w)) + S(g_{n+2}(w), g_{n+1}(w), g_{n+1}(w))$
 $+ S(g_{n+1}(w), g_{m}(w), g_{m}(w)) = S(g_{n+2}(w), g_{n+1}w), g_{n+1}(w))$
 $+ S(g_{n}(w), g_{m}(w), g_{m}(w)) + \cdots + S(g_{n+2}(w), g_{n+1}w), g_{n+1}(w))$
 $+ S(g_{n}(w), g_{m}(w), g_{m}(w)) \leq S(g_{m-1}(w), g_{m}(w), g_{m}(w)) + \cdots + S(g_{n+1}(w), g_{n+2}w), g_{n+2}(w))$
 $+ S(g_{n}(w), g_{m}(w), g_{m}(w)) = S(g_{m-1}(w), g_{m}(w), g_{m}(w)) + \cdots + S(g_{n+1}(w), g_{n+2}w), g_{n+2}(w))$

$$\leq K^{m-1} S(g_0(w), g_1(w)), g_1(w)) + \dots + K^{n+1} S(g_0(w), g_1(w)), g_1(w)) + K^n S(g_0(w), g_1(w)), g_1(w)) = \frac{K^{m-1}}{1-K} S(g_0(w), g_1(w)), g_1(w)) \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty$$

Thus , $\{g_n(w)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X , and by completeness of X , $\{g_n(w)\}$ converges to $g(w)in\,X$.

Now we prove that F(w,g(w))=g(w) take x = g(w), $y=g_{2n}(w)$ in inequality (3.1.1) we have $:S(F(w,g(w)),T(w,g_{2n}(w)),T(w,g_{2n}(w)))$

$$\leq \alpha(w) \left(\frac{[S(g_{2n}(w), T(w, g_{2n}(w)), T(w, g_{2n}(w))] + S(g(w), F(w, g(w))), F(w, g(w)))]S(g(w), g_{2n}(w), g_{2n}(w))}{S(g(w), T(w, g_{2n}(w)), T(w, g_{2n}(w))) + S(g_{2n}(w)), F(w, g(w)), F(w, g(w)))} \right)$$

$$+\beta(w)\left(\frac{\left[S\left(g\left(w\right),T\left(w,g_{2n}(w\right)\right),T\left(w,g_{2n}(w\right)\right)\right)+S\left(g\left(w\right),F\left(w,g\left(w\right)\right)\right),F\left(w,g\left(w\right)\right)\right)\right]S\left(g\left(w\right),g_{2n}(w\right),g_{2n}(w\right))}{S\left(g_{2n}(w),T\left(w,g_{2n}(w\right)\right),T\left(w,g_{2n}(w\right)\right)+S\left(g_{2n}(w),F\left(w,g\left(w\right)\right),F\left(w,g\left(w\right)\right)\right)}\right)\right)}\right)$$

Thus we have :
$$S(F(w,g(w)),g_{2n+1}(w), g_{2n+1}(w))$$

 $\leq \alpha(w) \left(\frac{[S(g_{2n}(w), g_{2n+1}(w), g_{2n+1}(w)) + S(g(w), F(w, g(w))), F(w, g(w)))]S(g(w), g_{2n}(w), g_{2n}(w))}{S(g(w), g_{2n+1}(w), g_{2n+1}(w)) + S(g_{2n}(w), F(w, g(w)), F(w, g(w)))} \right)$

$$+\beta(w)\left(\frac{[S(g(w),g_{2n+1}(w),g_{2n+1}(w))+S(g(w),F(w,g(w)),F(w,g(w)))]S(g(w),g_{2n}(w),g_{2n}(w))}{S(g_{2n}(w),g_{2n+1}(w),g_{2n+1}(w))+S(g_{2n}(w),F(w,g(w)),F(w,g(w)),F(w,g(w)))}\right)$$

By taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality we have :

$$S(F(w,g(w)),g(w),g(w)) \leq \alpha(w) \left(\frac{[S(g(w),g(w),g(w)) + S(g(w),F(w,g(w))),F(w,g(w)))]S(g(w),g(w),g(w))}{S(g(w),g(w),g(w)) + S(g(w),F(w,g(w)),F(w,g(w)))} \right)$$

$$+\beta(w)\left(\frac{[S(g(w),g(w),g(w))+S(g(w),F(w,g(w))),F(w,g(w)))]S(g(w),g(w),g(w))}{S(g(w),g(w),g(w))+S(g(w),F(w,g(w)),F(w,g(w)))}\right)$$

So we obtain that S(F(w,g(w)),g(w), g(w)) = 0 and then F(w,g(w))=g(w)Now take x = g(w), y = g(w) in inequality (2.1.1) we have : $S(F(w,g(w)),T(w,g(w)), T(w,g(w))) \le$

$$\alpha(w) \left(\frac{[S(g(w),T(w,g(w)),T(w,g(w))) + S(g(w),F(w,g(w))),F(w,g(w)))]S(g(w),g(w),g(w),g(w))}{S(g(w),T(w,g(w)),T(w,g(w))) + S(g(w),F(w,g(w)),F(w,g(w)))} \right)$$

$$+\beta(w)\left(\frac{[S(g(w),T(w,g(w)),T(w,g(w)))+S(g(w),F(w,g(w))),F(w,g(w)))]S(g(w),g(w),g(w))}{S(g(w),T(w,g(w)),T(w,g(w)))+S(g(w),F(w,g(w)),F(w,g(w)))}\right)$$

So , we obtain that ; S(F(w,g(w)),T(w,g(w)),T(w,g(w))) = 0 and so we get

T(w,g(w))=g(w). Thus g(w) is a common random fixed point of F and T. Now to prove the uniqueness of g(w), let $\gamma(w)$ be another common random fixed point of F and T such that $g(w) \neq \gamma(w)$. Then by inequality (3.1.1) we have : $S(g(w),\gamma(w),\gamma(w)) = S(F(w,g(w)),T(w,\gamma(W)),T(w,\gamma(w)) \leq S(w)$

$$\alpha(w) \left(\frac{[S(\gamma(w), T(w, \gamma(w)), T(w, \gamma(w))) + S(g(w), F(w, g(w))), F(w, g(w)))]S(g(w), \gamma(w), \gamma(w)))}{S(g(w), T(w, \gamma(w))), T(w, \gamma(w))) + S(\gamma(w), F(w, g(w)), F(w, g(w))))} \right)$$

$$+ \beta(w) \left(\frac{[S(g(w), T(w, \gamma(w)), T(w, \gamma(w))) + S(g(w), F(w, g(w))), F(w, g(w)))]S(g(w), \gamma(w), \gamma(w)))}{S(\gamma(w), T(w, \gamma(w))), T(w, \gamma(w))) + S(\gamma(w), F(w, g(w)), F(w, g(w))))} \right)$$

$$= \propto (w) \left(\frac{[S(\gamma(w), \gamma(w), \gamma(w)) + S(g(w), g(w), g(w))]S(g(w), \gamma(w), \gamma(w))}{S(g(w), \gamma(w), \gamma(w)) + S(\gamma(w), g(w), g(w))} \right) \\ + \beta(w) \left(\frac{[S(g(w), \gamma(w), \gamma(w)) + S(g(w), g(w), g(w))]S(g(w), \gamma(w), \gamma(w))}{S(\gamma(w), \gamma(w), \gamma(w)) + S(\gamma(w), g(w), g(w), g(w))} \right) \\ = \beta(w) \left(\frac{[S(g(w), \gamma(w), \gamma(w))]S(\gamma(w), g(w), g(w), g(w))}{S(\gamma(w), g(w), g(w), g(w))} \right)$$

So ,(1- $\beta(w)$) $S(g(w), \gamma(w), \gamma(w)) \le o$,that is , $S(g(w), \gamma(w), \gamma(w)) = 0$ And then $g(w) = \gamma(w)$.Thus , g(w) is a unique common random fixed point of F and T.

Corollary

Let (X,S) be complete S-metric space and let $F : \mathbb{R} \times X \to X$ be random mappings satisfying the following rational contractive condition : $S(F(w,x),F(w,y),F(w,y)) \leq C$

$$\alpha(w) \frac{[S(y, F(w, y), F(w, y)) + S(x, F(w, x), F(w, x))]S(x, y, y)}{S(x, F(w, y), F(w, y)) + S(y, F(w, x), F(w, x))} + \beta(w) \frac{[S(x, F(w, y), F(w, y)) + S(x, F(w, x), F(w, x))]S(x, y, y)}{S(y, F(w, y), F(w, y)) + S(y, F(w, x), F(w, x))}$$
.....(3.2.1)

Where

$$S(x, F(w, y), F(w, y)) + S(y, F(w, x), F(w, x)) \ge S(y, F(w, y), F(w, y)) + S(x, F(w, x), F(w, x))$$
And $S(y, F(w, y), F(w, y)) + S(y, F(w, x), F(w, x)) \ge S(y, F(w, y), F(w, y)) + S(y, F(w, x), F(w, x)) \ge S(y, F(w, y), F(w, y)) = S(y, F(w, y), F(w, y))$

For each $x, y \in X$ and $w \in R$ and α, β are two measurable mapping defined by $\alpha, \beta: R \to (0,1)$ with $0 \le \propto (w) + \beta(w) < 1$ Then there exists a unique random fixed point of F.

Proof

by theorem (3.1)by taking T(w,y) = F(w,y)Now by remark (2.6) we have the following corollary :

Corollary

Let (X,d) be complete metric space and let $F,T : R \times X \to X$ be two random mappings satisfying the following condition :

Where

 $d(x,T(w,y)) + d(y,F(w,x)) \ge d(y,T(w,y)) + d(x,F(w,x)) \dots (3.3.2)$ And $d(y,T(w,y)) + d(y,F(w,x)) \ge d(x,T(w,y)) + d(x,F(w,x))$ $\dots (3.3.3)$

For each $x, y \in X$ and $w \in R$ and α, β are two measurable mapping defined by $\alpha, \beta: R \to (0,1)$ with $0 \le \propto (w) + \beta(w) < 1$ Then there exists a unique random fixed point of F.

Proof

in theorem (3.1), by taking S(x,y,y) = d(x,y)

Theorem(3.4)

Let (X,S) be complete S-metric space and let $F,T : R \times X \to X$ be two random mappings satisfying the following rational contraction condition : S(F(w,x),T(w,y),T(w,y)) \leq

$$\alpha(w) \frac{[S^{2}(y,T(w,y),T(w,y)) + S^{2}(x,F(w,x),F(w,x))]S(x,y,y)}{S^{2}(x,T(w,y),T(w,y)) + S^{2}(y,F(w,x),F(w,x))} \qquad \dots \dots \dots \dots (3.4.1)$$

Where

 $S^{2}(x,T(w,y),T(w,y)) + S^{2}(y,F(w,x),F(w,x)) \geq S^{2}(y,T(w,y),T(w,y)) + S^{2}(x,F(w,x),F(w,x)) \qquad \dots \dots \dots (3.4.2)$

For each $x, y \in X$ and $w \in R$ and α is a measurable mapping defined by $\alpha: R \to (0,1)$ with $0 < \propto (w) < 1$ Then there exists a unique random fixed point of F.

Proof

Let $g_0 : \mathbb{R} \to X$ be an arbitrary measurable mapping and choose a measurable mapping $g_1 : \mathbb{R} \to X$ such that $g_1(w) = F(w,g_0(w))$ for each $w \in \mathbb{R}$. Then by (3.4.1) we have :

$$\frac{S(F(w,g_{0}(w)),T(w,g_{1} (w)),T(w,g_{1} (w))) \leq \alpha(w)}{\left[S^{2}(g_{1}(w),T(w,g_{1}(w)),T(w,g_{1}(w)))+S^{2}(g_{0}(w),F(w,g_{0}(w)),F(w,g_{0}(w)))\right]S(g_{0}(w),g_{1}(w),g_{1}(w))}{S^{2}(g_{0}(w),T(w,g_{1}(w)),T(w,g_{1}(w)))+S^{2}(g_{1}(w),F(w,g_{0}(w),F(w,g_{0}(w))))}$$

Further there exists $g_2 : \mathbb{R} \to X$ such that for all $w \in \mathbb{R}$, $g_2(w) = \mathbb{T}(w, g_1(w))$ so $S(g_1(w), g_2(w), g_2(w)) = S(\mathbb{F}(w, g_0(w)), \mathbb{T}(w, g_1(w)), \mathbb{T}(w, g_1(w))) \le \alpha(w)$

$$\begin{split} & \frac{[S^{2}(g_{1}(w), g_{2}(w)), g_{2}(w)) + S^{2}(g_{0}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w))]S(g_{0}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w))}{S^{2}(g_{0}(w), g_{2}(w)), g_{2}(w)) + S^{2}(g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w))} \\ & = \left(\frac{\alpha(w)[S^{2}(g_{1}(w), g_{2}(w)), g_{2}(w)) + S^{2}(g_{0}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w))]S(g_{0}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w))}{S^{2}(g_{0}(w), g_{2}(w), g_{2}(w))}\right) \\ & \text{By (3.4.2) we have :} \\ & S(g_{1}(w), g_{2}(w), g_{2}(w)) \leq \alpha(w) S(g_{0}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w)) \\ & \text{Let } K = \alpha(w) \text{, so we obtain that :} \\ & S(g_{1}(w), g_{2}(w), g_{2}(w)) \leq K S(g_{0}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w)) \\ & \text{By Beg and Shah zad [2,lemma 2.3] we obtain g_{3} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X \text{ such that for all } w \in \mathbb{R}, g_{3} \\ & (w) = F(w, g_{2}(w)) \text{ and} \\ & S(g_{2}(w), g_{3}(w), g_{3}(w)) = S(T(w, g_{1}(w)), F(w, g_{2}(w)), F(w, g_{2}(w)) \\ & \text{By remark (2.5) we have :} \\ & S(g_{2}(w), g_{3}(w), g_{3}(w)) = S(F(w, g_{2}(w)), T(w, g_{1}(w)) T(w, g_{1}(w))) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\alpha(w)[S^{2}(g_{1}(w), T(w, g_{1}(w)), T(w, g_{1}(w))) + S^{2}(g_{2}(w), F(w, g_{2}(w), F(w, g_{2}(w))))]}{S^{2}(g_{2}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w)), T(w, g_{1}(w))) + S^{2}(g_{1}(w), F(w, g_{2}(w), F(w, g_{2}(w))))}\right) \\ & \cdot S(g_{2}(w), g_{1}(w), g_{1}(w))) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\alpha(w)[S^{2}(g_{1}(w),g_{2}(w)),g_{2}(w))+S^{2}(g_{2}(w),g_{3}(w)),g_{3}(w))]S(g_{2}(w),g_{1}(w)),g_{1}(w))}{S^{2}(g_{2}(w),g_{2}(w)),g_{2}(w))+S^{2}(g_{1}(w),g_{3}(w)),g_{3}(w))}\right)$$

By (3.4.2) and remark (2.5) we have :

$$\begin{split} S(g_{2}(w), g_{3}(w)), g_{3}(w)) &\leq \alpha(w) S(g_{1}(w), g_{2}(w)), g_{2}(w)) \\ &\leq K S(g_{1}(w), g_{2}(w)), g_{2}(w)) \\ &\leq K^{2}S(g_{0}(w), g_{1}(w)), g_{1}(w)) \end{split}$$

Similarly ,proceeding in the same way by induction we produce a sequence of mappings $g_n{:}R{\to}X$, such that for $n{>}0$ and any $w{\in}~R$,

 $g_{2n+1}(w) = F(w, g_{2n}(w))$, $g_{2n+2}(w) = T(w, g_{2n+1}(w))$ and hence,

 $S(g_{n}(w),g_{n+1}(w),g_{n+1}(w)) \leq K^{n}S(g_{0}(w),g_{1}(w)),g_{1}(w))$

So by a similar way of theorem (3.1) we obtain that $\{g_n(w)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X, and by completeness of X, $\{g_n(w)\}$ converges to g(w) in X.

Now we prove that F(w,g(w))=g(w) take x = g(w), $y=g_{2n}(w)$ in inequality (3.4.1) we have $:S(F(w,g(w)),T(w,g_{2n}(w)),T(w,g_{2n}(w)))$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\alpha(w)[S^{2}(g_{2n}(w),T(w,g_{2n}(w)),T(w,g_{2n}(w)))+S^{2}(g(w),F(w,g(w)),F(w,g(w)))]S(g(w),g_{2n}(w),g_{2n}(w))}{S^{2}(g(w),T(w,g_{2n}(w)),T(w,g_{2n}(w))+S^{2}(g_{2n}(w),F(w,g(w)),F(w,g(w)))}\right)$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \text{Thus} & \text{we} & \text{have} & : & S(F(w,g(w)),g_{2n+1}(w), & g_{2n+1}(w)) \\ \leq & \left(\frac{\alpha(w)[S^2(g_{2n}(w),g_{2n+1}(w),g_{2n+1}(w)) + S^2(g(w),F(w,g(w))),F(w,g(w)))]S(g(w),g_{2n}(w),g_{2n}(w))}{S^2(g(w),g_{2n+1}(w)),g_{2n+1}(w)) + S^2(g_{2n}(w),F(w,g(w)))} \right) \end{array}$$

By taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality we have :

$$S(F(w,g(w)),g (w), g (w), g (w)) \\ \leq \left(\frac{\alpha(w)[S^{2}(g(w),g(w),g(w),g(w))+S^{2}(g(w),F(w,g(w))),F(w,g(w)))]S(g(w),g(w),g(w))}{S^{2}(g(w),g(w),g(w))+S^{2}(g(w),F(w,g(w)),F(w,g(w)))}\right)$$

So we obtain that S(F(w,g(w)),g(w), g(w)) = 0 and then F(w,g(w))=g(w)Now take x = g(w), y = g(w) in inequality (3.4.1) we have : $S(F(w,g(w)),T(w,g(w)), T(w,g(w))) \le$

$$\left(\frac{\alpha(w)[S^{2}(g(w),T(w,g(w)),T(w,g(w)))+S^{2}(g(w),F(w,g(w))),F(w,g(w)))]S(g(w),g(w),g(w))}{S^{2}(g(w),T(w,g(w)),T(w,g(w)))+S^{2}(g(w),F(w,g(w)),F(w,g(w)))}\right)$$

So , we obtain that ; S(F(w,g(w)),T(w,g(w)), T(w,g(w))) = 0 that is S(g(w),T(w,g(w)), T(w,g(w))) = 0 and so

T(w,g(w))=g(w). Thus g(w) is a common random fixed point of F and T. Now to prove the uniqueness of g(w), let $\gamma(w)$ be another common random fixed point of F and T such that $g(w) \neq \gamma(w)$. Then by inequality (3.4.1) we have : $S(g(w),\gamma(w),\gamma(w)) = S(F(w,g(w)),T(w,\gamma(w)),T(w,\gamma(w))) \leq$

$$\left(\frac{\alpha(w)[S^{2}(\gamma(w),T(w,\gamma(w))),T(w,\gamma(w)))+S^{2}(g(w),F(w,g(w))),F(w,g(w)))]S(g(w),\gamma(w),\gamma(w))}{S^{2}(g(w),T(w,g(w)),T(w,g(w)))+S^{2}(\gamma(w),F(w,g(w)),F(w,g(w)))}\right)$$

$$=\left(\frac{\alpha(w)[S^{2}(\gamma(w),\gamma(w),\gamma(w))+S^{2}(g(w),g(w),g(w))]S(g(w),\gamma(w),\gamma(w))}{S^{2}(g(w),\gamma(w),\gamma(w))+S^{2}(\gamma(w),g(w),g(w))g(w))}\right)$$

So we obtain that, $S(g(w), \gamma(w), \gamma(w)) = 0$ And then $g(w) = \gamma(w)$. Thus, g(w) is a unique common random fixed point of F and T.

Corollary

Let (X,S) be complete S-metric space and let $F : \mathbb{R} \times X \to X$ be random mappings satisfying the following rational contractive condition :

 $S(F(w,x),F(w,y),F(w,y)) \leq$

$$\frac{\alpha(w)[S^{2}(y,F(w,y),F(w,y))+S^{2}(x,F(w,x),F(w,x))]S(x,y,y)}{S^{2}(x,F(w,y),F(w,y))+S^{2}(y,F(w,x),F(w,x))} \qquad \dots \dots \dots (3.5.1)$$

Where

$$S^{2}(x, F(w, y), F(w, y)) + S^{2}(y, F(w, x), F(w, x)) \geq S^{2}(y, F(w, y), F(w, y)) + S^{2}(x, F(w, x), F(w, x))$$

For each $x, y \in X$ and $w \in R$ and α is measurable mapping defined by $\alpha: R \to (0,1)$ with $0 \le \propto (w) < 1$. Then there exists a unique random fixed point of F.

Proof

By theorem (3.4)by taking T(w,y) = F(w,y)Now by remark (2.6) we have the following corollary :

Corollary

Let (X,d) be complete metric space and let $F,T: R \times X \to X$ be two random mappings satisfying the following rational contractive condition :

$$d(F(w,x),T(w,y),) \le \frac{\alpha(w)[d^2(y,T(w,y)) + d^2(x,F(w,x))]d(x,y)}{d^2(x,T(w,y)) + d^2(y,F(w,x))} \dots \dots (3.6.1)$$

Where

 $d^{2}(x,T(w,y)) + d^{2}(y,F(w,x)) \ge$

 $d^{2}(y,T(w,y)) + d^{2}(x,F(w,x))$ (3.6.2)

For each $x, y \in X$ and $w \in R$ and α is measurable mapping defined by $\alpha: R \to (0,1)$ with $0 < \alpha(w) < 1$. Then there exists a unique random fixed point of F and T.

Proof

By theorem (3.4), by taking S(x,y,y) = d(x,y)

References

[1]. Bharucha-Reid A.T., "Random Integral Equation ", Academic press, New York, 1972.

[2]. Beg, I., Random fixed point of random operators satisfying semi contractivity conditions, mathematica japonica, 46(1), 151-155, (1997)

[3]. Beg, I. and shahzad, N.,Random fixed points of random multi valued operators on polish spaces, nonlinear analysis, 20(7), 835-847, (1993)

[4]. Lin , T.c. , "Random approximations and random fixed point theorems for continuous set contractive random maps " proc. Amer .Math soc.123,1167-1176,(1995)

[5]. O,Regan .D., " A continuous type result for random operators " proc. Amer .Math .soc .126, 1963 -1971(1998)

[6]. papageorgiou , N.S., " Random fixed point theorems for measurable multi functions in Banach space " proc . Amer .Math .soc.97(3),507-514,(1986) .

[7]. Xu,H.K., "Some random fixed point theorems for condensing and non expensive operators " proc .Amer .Math .soc.110(2),395 -400,(1990).

[8]. Choudhary, B.S. " A common unique fixed point theorems for two random operators in Hilbert spaces ".I.J.M.M.S.32:177-182(2002).

[9]. Choudhary ,B.S., and Reg , M. "Convergence of an iteration leading to a solutions of a random operator equation " J.Apply .Math .stochastic Anal .12(2), 161 -168; (1999) .

[10]. Choudhary ,B.S and upadhyoy ,A., "An iteration leading to random solution and fixed points of operators " Soochow J.Math .25(4):395-400(1999).

[11]. Dhagat ,v.B., Sharma ,A.And Bhardwaj .R.K., "Fixed point theorem for random operators in Hilbert spaces " International Journal of Math .Analysis 2(12) : 557 -561(2008) .

[12]. Sanodia, P.L., Jaiswal , D. and Rajput, S.S., "Some rational contraction in rondom spaces " International Journal of advanced scientific and technical research ,(1),2249-9954,2012.

[13]. Shrivastava ,R., Dubey ,R.,K., and Tiwari ,P., "Some common fixed point theorems in polish space using new type of contractive conditions " Research Journal of mathematics and statistical sciences ,1(10),13-18,(2013).

[14]. Chouhan ,P., Malviya ,N.and Agrawal,G.,"Some random fixed point theorems for pair of non commuting expensive type mappings in polish space "International Journal of mathematics research ,5(1),173-182,2013.

[15]. Sedghi ,S . and ShoBe , N ."A common unique random fixed point theorems in S –metric spaces " Journal of prime research in Mathematics (7) , 25 -34 (2011).

[16]. Sedghi ,Sh., Shobe ,N., Aliouche , A., A generalization of fixed point theorem in S-metric spaces ,Math. Vesnik .64,258 -266,(2012).