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ABSTRACT

A study on the dynamic characteristics of the excitons in finite GaAs single quantum wells surrounded by Ga1–xAlxAs barriers. We consider the calculations of exciton binding energies as a function of well width was presented for five different values of mole fraction (0.15, 0.25, 0.35, 0.4, 0.45). In addition, we study the exciton Bohr radius \( \lambda \) as a function of the same previous mole fraction values. Also the effect of mole fraction on the binding energy was taken into account for a series of 5-period 50 Å GaAs/50 Å Ga\(_x\)Al\(_{1-x}\)As multiple quantum wells as a function of the equal well and barrier widths, also for different values of mole fraction (0.1, 0.12, 0.14, 0.16, 0.18, 0.2).

خصائص الأكسياتون في بنر الجهد الكمي المنفرد والمتمعدد

تمت دراسة الخواص الديناميكية للأكسياتون في بنر الجهد المنفرد المتمعدد من نوع محاط بنجار الجهد من Ga\(_x\)Al\(_{1-x}\)As مع الأخذ بنظر الاعتبار حسب حساب طاقة ترابط الأكسياتون كالة لعرض البئر ولخمس قيم مختلفة للكسر المولي (0.15, 0.25, 0.35, 0.4, 0.45).

كما تناولت الدراسة تركيب متعدد بنر الجهد من حيث تأثير الكسر المولي على طاقة الترابط للأكسياتون لخمسة أبار متسلسلة من كالة لعرض حاجز الجهد وعرض البئر. وكذلك قيم مختلفة للكسر المولي (0.1, 0.12, 0.14, 0.16, 0.18, 0.2). بالإضافة إلى ذلك، أظهرت الدراسة تأثير أقطار بور للأكسياتون \( \lambda \) كالة لنفس قيم الكسور المولية السابقة لبنر الجهد المنفرد والمتمعدد.

https://doi.org/10.30684/etj.32.3b.17
2412-0738/University of Technology-Iraq, Baghdad, Iraq
This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0
INTRODUCTION
The confinement of electrons and holes in semiconductor quantum wells leads to the strong enhancement of exciton optical transitions. This provides strong optical non-linearities that can be used for all-optical or electro-optical switching devices. One fundamental nonlinearity is the absorption saturation of the exciton by photoexcited free and bound electron-hole pairs. Understanding the basic physics of this saturation is essential for optimizing the performance of electro absorptive photonics devices. If photons of energy comparable to the band gap are incident on a semiconductor, then they can be absorbed by the electrons forming atomic bonds between neighboring atoms, and so provide them with enough energy to break free and move around in the body of the crystal. Within the band theory of solids, this would be described as exciting an electron from the valence band across the band gap into the conduction band. If the energy of the photon is larger than the band gap, then a free electron is created and an empty state is left within the valence band. The empty state within the valence band behaves very much like an air bubble in a liquid and rises to the the lowest energy state. This hole behaves as though it were positively charged and hence often forms a bond with a conduction band electron. The attractive potential leads to a reduction (by an amount $E_x$) in the total energy of the electron and hole. This bound electron-hole pair is known as an exciton. Photons of energy just below the band gap can by absorb, thus creating excitons directly.

PROCEDURE AND RESULTS
As the whole mass is generally much greater than the electron mass, then the two body system resembles a hydrogen atom, with the negatively charged electron orbiting the positive hole. The exciton is quite stable and can have a relatively long lifetime, of the order of hundreds of psec ns. Exciton recombination is an important feature of low temperature photoluminescence, although as the binding energies are relatively low, i.e. a few meV to a few tens of meV, they tend to dissociate at higher temperatures. The refore, in a manner similar to the hydrogenic impurities, the binding energy and orbital radius can be represented well by Bohr theory, with the correction for the finite mass of the central charge. This is implemented by exchanging the (in the case of a hydrogen atom, or donor) orbiting electron mass, with the reduced mass of the two-body system, in this case, the electron-hole pair. The reduced mass is given by:

$$\frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{1}{m_e^*} + \frac{1}{m_h^*}$$

Hence, the binding energy becomes:

$$E_{X_0} = -\frac{\mu e^4}{32\pi^2\hbar^2\epsilon_0^2 \epsilon_r^2}$$

and the Bohr radius follows as:

$$\lambda = \frac{4\pi \epsilon_r \epsilon_0 \hbar^2}{\mu e^2}$$
then the exciton binding energy and Bohr radius follow respectively, as

\[ E_{X^0} = -4.7 \text{ meV} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = 115 \text{ Å} \] ... (4)

In the same way as in bulk, excitons can be formed by the bonding of free electron free hole pairs or through resonant excitation. Whereas in bulk, the total energy of the exciton is simply the energy of the free electron-free whole pair (i.e. the band gap) plus the exciton binding energy \( E_{x^0} \), in a hetero structure there are additional components due to the electron and hole confinement energies [5], i.e.

\[ E = E_g + E_{X^0} \quad \text{(bulk)} \quad E = E_g + E_e + E_h + E_{X^0} \quad \text{(heterostructure)} \] ... (5)

The total exciton energy is clearly a function of structure because of the structural dependency of the confinement energies. In addition, it must be expected that the Coulombic potential energy, i.e. \( E_{x^0} \), also depends upon the structure. This later effect arises because the electron-hole separation can vary quite considerably between heterostructures. The Hamiltonian representing the interacting two-body electron-hole complex can be considered to be the sum of three terms [5]:

\[ H = H_e + H_h + H_{e-h} \] ... (6)

Where \( H_e \) and \( H_h \) are the one-particle Hamiltonians appropriate to the conduction and valence bands, respectively, of the particular microstructure of interest. The third term, \( H_{e-h} \) represents the electron-hole interaction. The two dimensional exciton binding energy is related to the binding energy of bulk structure, and is given as, [5]

\[ E^{2D} = -\frac{m e^4}{32 \pi^2 \hbar^2 \epsilon_r^2 \epsilon_0^2} = 4E^{3D} \] ... (7)

and the two dimensional Bohr radius is given as [5]:

\[ \lambda_{2D} = \frac{4\pi \epsilon_r \epsilon_0 \hbar^2}{2 m e^2} = \frac{\lambda_{3D}}{2} \] ... (8)

Increasing Al concentration in the barrier (both the conduction and valence band offsets are proportional to the mole fraction \( x \)) leads to an increase in the magnitude of the exciton binding energy for all well widths. We consider the barrier height (for \( x = 0.15, 0.25, 0.35, 0.45 \)) unlike the Harrison values in order to see the effects of the other values on the binding energy of exciton.
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Figure (1) display the results of calculations of exciton binding energies in finite GaAs single quantum wells surrounded by Ga$_{1-x}$Al$_x$As barriers. The negative values on the graph illustrate that it is in a deeda bound state. Unlike the infinite-well Case, the exciton binding energy $E_x^o$ is a non-monotonic function of well width especially for the law values barrier height (for $x=0.15, 0.25, 0.35$). This issue to the effect of the well width on the electron and whole confinement. At very narrow well widths, the one-particle states are 'squeezed' up the well to reside at energies just below the top of the barrier. The wave function tends to 'spill' over the top, thus leading to a reduced probability of the particle being within the region of the quantum well [5]. This non-monotonic behavior has been observed in experiment [8].

However, there are two points to note regarding this. First, the effect of the barrier height is reduced at larger well widths [5]. Secondly, the effect of an increasing barrier height is largest at smaller well widths, and for any given well width, further increases in the barrier height lead to smaller increases in the binding energy. But at large values of barrier height ($x=0.4, 0.45$) the curves become approximately monotonic, i.e., it become the infinite-well case. Also, at high barrier (in limit $x=0.45$), the exciton binding energy firstly increases and at well width more than 40 Å, we expected that the exciton is recombined again, and secondly it overlap especially at well width 50, 70, 140, 180 Å and greater, i.e. the exciton binding energy is tending towards its bulk value of 4.7 meV[5].

Figure (1) The exciton binding energy $E_x^o$ in a GaAs quantum well surrounded by Ga$_{1-x}$Al$_x$As barriers.
Figure (2) shows the functional dependencies of Bohr radius $\lambda$ on well width and barrier height, which is mirror that of the binding energy $E_X^0$, except for $x=0.45$, it is an on-monotonic function of well width, decreases with increasing barrier height and tends towards its bulk value of 115 Å at large well widths. It is clear from the figure, that when $x=0.45$ there are minima values of Bohr radius in contrast to the maxima values of binding energy in Figure (1), i.e., the exciton start to annihilate.

The exciton binding energy for a series of 5-period multiple quantum wells is displayed in Figure (3) as a function of the equal well ($l_w$) and barrier ($l_b$) widths (50 Å) for many values of mole fraction ($x=0.1, 0.12, 0.14, 0.16, 0.18, 0.2$). In contrast to the finite well, the magnitude of $E_X^0$ passes through a minimum, which in this material system is at around 50-60 Å, before increasing again. In each value of mole fraction there are many singularity points in a barrier region. That is, in the GaAs-Ga$_{1-x}$Al$_x$As material system here, larger well and barrier widths reduced the interaction between the states in adjacent wells. Hence the truncation of the data at 60 and 80 Å will be occurred, as shown in Figure (4).
Figure (3) The exciton binding energy $E_x^0$ as a function of well width in a 5-period GaAs-Ga$_{1-x}$Al$_x$As multiple quantum well.

Figure (4) The exciton binding energy $E_x^0$ as a function of well width in a 5-period GaAs-Ga$_{1-x}$Al$_x$As multiple quantum well.
CONCLUSIONS
In conclusion, we investigate the effect of well width and the barrier height on the binding energy and Bohr radius for finite GaAs single quantum wells surrounded by Ga$_{1-x}$Al$_x$As barriers, and a series of 5-period multiple quantum wells. We note that, for a single well, the exciton binding energy $E_x^0$ is a non-monotonic function of well width especially for the low values of barrier height ($x=0.15, 0.25, 0.35$), while at large values of barrier height ($x=0.4, 0.45$) the curves become approximately monotonic. In contrast, in multiple quantum wells, the excitonic binding energy has the maxima values at well width between 50-60 Å.
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