Effect of Input Parameters on SR and MRR for Tool Steel AISI L2 By Electric Discharge Machine (EDM)

Shukry H. Aghdeab^{a*}, Raed R. Shwaish^b, Tahseen M. Salman^c

^a Production Engineering and Metallurgy Department, University of Technology, Baghdad, Iraq, 70174@uotechnology.edu.iq

^b Production Engineering and Metallurgy Department, University of Technology, Baghdad, Iraq, 70052@uotechnology.edu.iq

^c Production Engineering and Metallurgy Department, University of Technology, Baghdad, Iraq, pme.19.02@grad.uotechnology.edu.iq

*Corresponding author.

Submitted: 06/10/2020

Accepted: 30/11/2020

Published: 25/06/2021

KEY WORDS

Electrical discharge machining (EDM), Full Factorial, Material removal rate (MRR), Surface roughness (SR), X-ray diffraction

ABSTRACT

Electric discharge machine (EDM) or may be call electric spark machine is one of the most important cutting process or manufacturing process because it gives high accurate dimension and can be produced the most complex shape. In this present material removal rate and surface roughness for tool steel AISI L2 studied. The input parametric for this process is current, pulse on time (T_{on}) and pulse off time (T_{off}). A full factorial method is used to formulate machine parameters and find the optimal process parameters of an electric spark. The result shows that the Surface roughness increasing with increasing current and pulse on time is increases while no effect by increase in pulse off time. Best surface roughness when using low current and pulse in time. The material removal rate is increasing with increasing in current and pulse on time while decreasing when pulse of time is increased. The experimented and predicted values by using Minilab17 software of this process are approximately equal.

How to cite this article: S. H. Aghdeab a, R. R. Shwaishb, T. M. Salman. "Effect of input parameters on SR and MRR for tool steel AISI L2 by electric discharge machine (EDM)," Engineering and Technology Journal, Vol. 39, No. 06, pp. 928-935, 2021.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30684/etj.v39i6.1849>

This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>

1. INTRODUCTION

Electric spark machine (EDM) is non-traditional, thermal electric machine. It's used in wide range number of industrial applications. EDM process is done without any contact between the workpiece and tool electrode so no mechanical stress is exerted on the workpiece as shown in Figure. (1-a). Electric discharge machines can be classified into two types, die sinking EDM'ed and wire EDM [1]. Wire-EDM applications almost like the cutting by electrical saw while in die-sinking the workpiece is taken the same shape in the tool electrode. EDM is a manufacturing method used to

cut electrically conductive material only and also used to cutting hard material that was the most difficult cut in the traditional machine such as turning, milling, sawing and etc. [2]. Mechanism of material removal is done by converting electrical energy into thermal energy through a series of successive sparks between the workpiece and the tool electrode in a dielectric liquid the mechanism of plasma development as shown in Figure. (1-b) [3].

Figure1: a Spark initiation in EDM process [4].

Figure 1:b EDM Process Mechanism [5].

The performance of the EDM process is mainly affected by many electric parameters as far as current, polarity, Voltage, Pulse on time, Pulse off time, Electrode gap and also non electrical parameters such as workpiece and tool material, dielectric liquid pressure. All of these parameters (electrical and non-electrical) has a significant impact on the EDM'ed output response like surface roughness (SR), Metal removal rate (MRR) [6]. Many research was done on this topic as B. Nahak and A. Srivastava said that MRR and surface roughness was increasing with rising in current and pulse on time in tool steel material [7]. Haneen L. Abdulwahhab also show that material removal rate and surface roughness increasing with rising current and pulse on time at constant pulse off time [8]. Safa R. Fadhil found the best value of MRR and Ra was found by using Gr powder, whereas the best value of EWR was found by using Al_2O_3 powder also she seen MRR and SR increasing with any rise in the current [9].

2. ELECTRIC DISCHARGE MACHINE RESPONSE MESURMENT:

Surface roughness is a very important response to each cutting process. Electrical spark machining (EDM) process surface consisting of a large number of craters generated by discharge energy [10]. Many input parameters were influenced by the surface roughness of the EDM process such as Current, Voltage, Pulse on time, Tool electrode, Polarity and Workpiece materials, type of the dielectric fluid and even electrode dimensions. High parametric effected on surface roughness was current [11].

1. Surface roughness (SR) and material removal rate (MRR):

Surface roughness increasing when increasing MRR, MRR is a measurement of performance for the workpiece's corrosion rate which is usually used to measure the impact at which machining is carried out. The volumetric amount of workpiece material removed per unit time is expressed [12].

MRR was measured according to equation (1), which depends on the weight of the workpiece before and after machining, dividing to machining time [13].

$$MRR = \frac{W_{iw} - W_{fw}}{T * \rho} \quad \dots (1)$$

MRR = Material Removal Rate (mm^3/min).

Wiw = Initial weight of workpiece (g).

Wfw = Final weight of workpiece (g).

ρ = Density (g/cm³) & T = Machining time (min)

3. EXPERIMENTAL PRODUCE

In this research pure copper is selected as a tool electrode and tool steel AISI L2 as a workpiece with dimension (32x27x10) mm for electrode and (30x20x3) mm for workpiece with cutting 10 mm from end of the sample as shown in Figure 2 . Chemical composition for workpiece and tool electrode as shown in Table I.

Figure 2: Electrode and workpiece shape and dimensions.

TABLE I: Chemical composition for workpiece and electrode.

Workpiece material		Tool electrode	
Element	Weight (%)	Element	Weight (%)
C	0.690	Al	0.0023
Si	0.276	S	0.00006
Mn	0.406	Sn	0.0018
P	0.0091	P	0.0008
S	0.003	Fe	0.024
Mo	< 0.002	Mn	< 0.0004
Cr	0.585	Cr	0.0071
Ni	0.0305	Sb	0.0082
		Cu	99.9

The machine parameter can be into fixed parameters and changed parameters such as current, T_{on} and T_{off} as shown in Table II .

TABLE II: Machine parametric value in this study

Machining Parameters	Values
Current	10 ,20 ,30 A
T _{on}	50 , 100 , 150μs
T _{off}	6.5 , 12 ,25μs
Polarity	Positive
Dielectric fluid	Transformer oil
High voltage (H.V)	240 V 1.5 A
S code (S CODE)	20
Servo feed (SVO)	75 %
Working time (W.T)	0.6 sec
Jumping time (J.T)	0.8 mm
Gap code (GAP)	9
Depth of cut	1 mm

In Table II S code (SCODE) means that a name of file who saving in the machining program, while gap code (GAP) is a constant number saving in machining program multiply number find on a frame of the machine to give a distance of gap between electrode and workpiece who generated cutting spark. Jumping time mean the distance who was the electrode jumping to save a constant gap between workpiece and electrode but in the program it calls was jumping time because machining time equal to working time add to jumping time so it replaced by a unit to distance. Experiments are done on a die-sinking EDM machine called CHMER of the model (CM.323C), which is placed at the center of the training and workshop in the University of Technology /Baghdad Figure 3.

Figure 3: Chemer EDM machine at UOT

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Experiment result and predict result for SR and MRR with machine parameter are shown in Table III. Design of experimental is done by using full factorial to give (all prospects) 27 numbers of experiments on program minilab17 software.

TABLE III: Experiment and predict value with machine parametric

No. Sample	Ip	T _{on}	T _{off}	Exp. SR (μm)	Pred.SR (μm)	Exp. MRR (mm ³ /min)	Pred. MRR (mm ³ /min)
1	10	50	70	3.737	3.24481	1.7101881	1.278887
2	10	50	12	3.737	3.30910	1.3291173	1.278887
3	10	50	20	3.070	3.32710	1.0880000	1.278887
4	10	100	70	3.073	3.70081	1.4983194	1.37780
5	10	100	12	3.070	3.70010	1.0308379	1.37780
6	10	100	20	3.033	3.73010	1.4389920	1.37780
7	10	150	70	3.917	3.99710	1.7403280	1.77209
8	10	150	12	3.227	3.11381	1.0784240	1.77209
9	10	150	20	3.280	3.12881	1.0310224	1.77209
10	20	50	70	3.730	3.30970	2.9842468	3.10472
11	20	50	12	3.673	3.37404	2.9327010	3.10472
12	20	50	20	3.703	3.34104	2.8141470	3.10472
13	20	100	70	3.707	3.79070	3.0288922	3.20300
14	20	100	12	3.703	3.79004	3.3021007	3.20300
15	20	100	20	3.740	3.70004	3.2228397	3.20300
16	20	150	70	0.173	0.11204	3.8230031	3.73784
17	20	150	12	3.143	3.22870	3.7692170	3.73784
18	20	150	20	3.813	3.12770	3.7092207	3.73784
19	30	50	70	7.227	0.98848	3.7493277	3.33202
20	30	50	12	0.827	7.10181	3.7601090	3.33202
21	30	50	20	0.773	7.07881	3.0180078	3.33202
22	30	100	70	7.007	7.41848	3.4074730	3.03140
23	30	100	12	7.070	7.41781	3.7083727	3.03140
24	30	100	20	7.490	7.37781	3.800027	3.03140
25	30	150	70	7.770	7.73981	3.8324974	3.91074
26	30	150	12	0.830	0.80748	0.3003470	3.91074
27	30	150	20	0.803	0.70048	3.7019170	3.91074

These coefficients are used to develop the statistical model; the quantitative relationship between input parameters and MRR, SR are obtained in the equation of regression.

$$\text{MRR} = -0.764 + 0.2544 \text{ Ip} - 0.0085 \text{ T}_{\text{on}} + 0.0226 \text{ T}_{\text{off}} - 0.00299 (\text{Ip})^2 + 0.000057 (\text{T}_{\text{on}})^2 - 0.00176 (\text{T}_{\text{off}})^2 + 0.000047 \text{ Ip} * \text{T}_{\text{on}} + 0.00125 \text{ Ip} * \text{T}_{\text{off}} + 0.00007 \text{ T}_{\text{on}} * \text{T}_{\text{off}}$$

$$\text{SR} = 4.370 - 0.1995 \text{ Ip} + 0.0325 \text{ T}_{\text{on}} - 0.0139 \text{ T}_{\text{off}} + 0.00756 (\text{Ip})^2 - 0.000128 (\text{T}_{\text{on}})^2 + 0.00228 (\text{T}_{\text{off}})^2 + 0.000035 \text{ Ip} * \text{T}_{\text{on}} - 0.001339 \text{ Ip} * \text{T}_{\text{off}} - 0.000482 \text{ T}_{\text{on}} * \text{T}_{\text{off}}$$

SR increasing with the increase in current because of the increasing the current led to increasing the discharge energy and the impulsive force, material removal increases from the surface and generating deeper and larger discharge craters, these factors led to increasing the surface roughness. Also, SR decreases with decrease in pulse on time because when (T_{on}) is low that is led to a lower temperature for the surface machining thus producing more surface smoothness and volcanic crater are much small, while no change is occurred on SR when pulse off time is changes. Figure 3 Show the effect of current, pulse on time and pulse off time on SR.

Figure 3: The effect of current, pulse on time and pulse off time on SR

MRR increasing with the increase in T_{on} , the causes were increasing discharge energy of the plasma channel and the longer period of transformation of this energy into the tool electrode, also, the MRR increase with increasing in current due to the production of the stronger spark that generates high temperature leading to melting and vaporization of materials and formation of a crater on the workpiece. While MRR decreases with increasing T_{off} because the dielectric liquid in the gap between the workpiece and tool electrode can't be flushed away properly and the debris particles still remain in the discharge gap and these results in arcing, due to which MRR decreases. Figure 4 Shown the effect of current, T_{on} and T_{off} on MRR.

Figure 4: The effect of current, T_{on} and T_{off} on MRR

5. CONCLUSIONS

The present study discussed the effect of electrical process parameters (Current, Pulse on time and pulse off time) on the material removal rate and electrode wear rate for tool steel AISI L2, when pure copper is used as a tool electrode. A Full factorial model is proposed to predict material removal rate and electrode wear rate value the result show that the experimented and predicted values are approximately equal. From the result we can conclude that:

- Best surface roughness for AISI L2 tool steel when cutting by electric discharge machine (EDM) occurred at a minimum value of (Current, Pulse on time and pulse off time) So to give high surface roughness using low current and pulse on time.
- The maximum or best Material removal rate is ($5.3 \text{ mm}^3/\text{min}$) was occurred at maximum current and T_{on} (30A, $150 \mu\text{s}$) respectively. The minimum Material removal rate is ($1.14 \text{ mm}^3/\text{min}$) found at maximum pulse off time ($25 \mu\text{s}$) and minimum current and T_{on} (10A, $50 \mu\text{s}$) respectively.

Acknowledgment

Thanks to Allah and without the help of Allah this work cannot be done. Special thanks and deepest gratitude are dedicated to my supervisors Prof. Dr. Shukry H. Aghdeab and Dr. Raed R. Shwaish for his patience, guidance, and encouragement throughout this work. General thanks to everyone who contributed to the work.

References

- [1] S. K. Choudhary and R. S. Jadoun, "Current Advanced Research Development of Electric Discharge Machining (EDM): A Review," *Int. J. Res. Advent Technol.*, Vol. 2, No. 3, pp. 2321–9637, 2014.
- [2] S. Singh and A. Bhardwaj, "Review to EDM by Using Water and Powder-Mixed Dielectric Fluid,"

J. Miner. Mater. Charact. Eng., Vol. 10, No. 02, pp. 199–230, 2011, doi: 10.4236/jmmce.2011.10,2014.

[3] T. Muthuramalingam and B. Mohan, “A review on influence of electrical process parameters in EDM process,” *Arch. Civ. Mech. Eng.*, Vol. 15, No. 1, pp. 87–94, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.acme.2014.02.009.

[4] R. Thanigaivelan, R. Arunachalam, and N. Natarajan, “Study on Influence of Electrodes in Electric Discharge Machining,” August, 2015, doi: 10.2174/2212797608666150610220531.

[5] A. Singh, “Effect of Powder Mixed Electric Discharge Machining (PMEDM) on Various Materials with Different Powders : A Review,” Vol. 2, No. 03, pp. 164–169, 2015.

[6] S. Das, M. Klotz, and F. Klocke, “EDM simulation: Finite element-based calculation of deformation, microstructure and residual stresses,” *J. Mater. Process. Technol.*, vol. 142, no. 2, pp. 434–451, 2003, doi: 10.1016/S0924-0136(03)00624-1.

[7] B. Nahak, A. Srivastava, M. Z. Khan Yusufzai, and M. Vashista, “Surface Integrity Assessment Upon Electric Discharge Machining of Die Steel Using Non-Destructive Magnetic Barkhausen Noise Technique,” *Trans. Indian Inst. Met.*, Vol.73,2020, doi: 10.1007/s12666-020-01914-y.

[8] H. L. Abdulwahhab, “Haneen L. Abdulwahhab,” Study the effect of different dielectric fluid on surface integrity for electrical discharge machining (EDM)" , M. Sc. thesis, Department of Production Engineering and Metallurgy in the University of Technology Iraq, 2019.

[9] C. One and L. Survey, “Safa R. Fadhil,” Study the effect of addition of different powders to dielectric liquid on EDM process" , M. Sc. thesis, Department of Production Engineering and Metallurgy in the University of Technology Iraq,2020.”

[10] S. Sodhi, Parametric Optimization of Electric Discharge Machine Using Response Surface Method, June 2017” February, 2019, doi: 10.24867/JPE-2017-01-043.

[11] M. B. Toparli and M. E. Fitzpatrick, “Development and Application of the Contour Method to Determine the Residual Stresses in Thin Laser-Peened Aluminium Alloy Plates,” *Exp. Mech.*, Vol. 56, No. 2, pp. 323–330, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s11340-015-0100-7.

[12] M. Vishwakarma, V. Parashar, and V. K. Khare, “Advancement in Electric Discharge Machining on metal matrix composite materials in recent : A Review,” *Int. J. Sci. Res. Publ.*, Vol. 2, No. 3, pp. 1–8, 2012.

[13] T. Sultan, A. Kumar, and R. D. Gupta, “Material Removal Rate, Electrode Wear Rate, and Surface Roughness Evaluation in Die Sinking EDM with Hollow Tool through Response Surface Methodology,” *Int. J. Manuf. Eng.*, Vol.8, September, pp. 1–16, 2014, doi: 10.1155/2014/259129.