



## Effect of adding additional Carbon Fiber on Piezoresistive Properties of Fiber Reinforced Concrete Pavements under Impact Load

Ayad K. Mohammed <sup>a,\*</sup>, Ali M. Al-Dahawi <sup>b</sup>, Qais S. Banyhussan <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a,b</sup> Civil Engineering Dept., University of Technology-Iraq, Alsina'a street, 10066 Baghdad, Iraq.

<sup>c</sup> Highways and Transportation Engineering Dept., Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq.

\*Corresponding author Email: [42371@student.uotechnology.edu.iq](mailto:42371@student.uotechnology.edu.iq)

### HIGHLIGHTS

- Self-sensing and mechanical behavior of FRCP under impact loads were assessed.
- Carbon fibers and steel fibers were utilized to improve the mechanical and piezoresistive properties.
- The best impact energy results were achieved when only 0.5 and 1.0% vol.% of CF and SF respectively.
- Successful self-sensing of damage was obtained with superior performance of CF.

### ABSTRACT

Multifunctional Cementitious Composite (MCC) characteristics are directly related to the type and dosage of the Electrically Conductive Materials (ECMs) reinforcing the relevant concrete matrices. This study investigated the electro-mechanical capacities of fiber reinforced concrete pavement (FRCP) with and without the addition of micro scale-carbon fiber (CF). The impact energy of FRCP under compacted load was evaluated initially; then, the effects of 0.5% and 1% content by volume of CF on the piezoresistivity capacities of FRCP were investigated under applied impact load. This type of load is the most common force causing long-term rigid pavement deterioration. Obtained results showed that the use of a hybrid fiber (micro-scale carbon fiber 0.5% and macro-scale steel fiber 1% by volume) enhanced the impact strength (impact energy) due to CF's resistance to micro-cracks. The developed FRCP showed good results in terms of self-sensing under compact load with both 0.5 and 1.0% by volume of CF.

### ARTICLE INFO

**Handling editor:** Wasan I. Khalil

**Keywords:**

Multifunctional cementitious composite

Self-sensing

Hybrid fiber

Electrically conductive material

Piezoresistivity capacities

## 1. Introduction

Many constructional structures are likely to be exposed to impact forces over time. Impact forces may be of many shapes and for various reasons. One example is the accident effect of transport vehicle's bays, roads, and building walls [1].

In recent decades, the interest in the use of steel and synthetic fibers for concrete mixtures is growing. One of the main features of Fiber Reinforced Concrete (FRC) is impact strength enhancement. High strength to impact means both higher energy and higher intensity absorption of impacts. For civil and military structural applications, FRC was notably used in recent years. Channel lining, bridge decking, industrial flooring, airport pavements, are examples of those applications, Infrastructure offshore and military [2, 3]. Several test methods were suggested by similar researchers and standards to achieve an acceptable FRC impact resistance measurement. The parameters studied depend on each form of these tests, and the mechanism for applying the impact load is widely varied. The Charpy pendulum, drop weight, projectile effect, explosive, and repeated drop weight are among these measures of effect research (RBDWI) [4, 5].

Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) is used for the monitoring and inspection of structural efficiency of buildings and public facilities during their ongoing operation [6, 7]. Commonly, SHM approaches have used embedded or structural sensors; however, such sensors are expensive, with low sensitivity and poor durability [8-15]. In this study, two types of conductive materials were used to make concrete pavement capable of sensing loads and damage in the form of cracking by detecting electrical resistivity. Self-sensing materials, sometimes called multifunctional or smart materials [16] come in various forms, with additions including carbon fiber, steel fiber, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), carbon black, nickel powder, graphite powder, and steel slag having been used to increase electrical conductivity and piezoelectricity in cement-based construction materials [9-15, 17-22]. Among these

smart fillers, carbonic materials have been reported as exhibiting excellent detection capabilities in cement-based composites as well as in epoxy or polymer composites [7, 23-26].

Smart materials with self-sensing capabilities that use CF and/or CNTs have improved significantly their piezoresistive capabilities; however, their sensing ability is still limited to the linear elastic range [27-31]. On the other hand, macro steel-reinforced cementitious composites under direct tension have recently been shown to have electro-mechanical damage sensing capabilities even after the first cracking [32, 33]. Typical sensing behavior of self-sensing concrete under tension includes increased electrical resistance (ER) after the first crack [34]. Reinforced concrete with macro steel fibers showed significant decreases in electrical resistivity during the direct tension strain-hardening process from first cracking to post cracking [35]. Banthia *et al.* [36] investigated the electrical resistivity of cement paste with micro steel and carbon fibers, recording a significant reduction in the resistivity of matrices reinforced with both micro-scale fibers and CF; the latter improved the conductivity of the paste better than the inclusion of steel fiber, however, conflicting with Wen and Chung [37]. Macro-steel fiber reinforced concrete with the correct type and content of fiber also improves the mechanical properties of matrices concerning stress-hardening performance [38-44], emphasizing the importance of increasing the FRCP material's self-sensing ability without reducing the mechanical properties of the matrix to be used in SHM applications.

In the present study, hybrid fiber (micro-scale carbon fiber (CF) and macro end hook steel fiber (S)) are investigated for this purpose. The main objectives of the study were thus to evaluate the effects of different fibers utilization on the impact energy and the effects of CF content on the self-sensing capabilities of FRCP under impact load.

## 2. Experimental framework

The steps of the experimental program to explore FRCP, impact energy and piezoresistive properties were planned, as illustrated in Figure 1. All mixtures were allotted the same concrete matrix with the addition of different smart materials. To achieve the first purpose of the study, the additives were as follows: (a) 1.0% by vol. macro end hook steel fibers (S), (b) 0.5% by vol. microscale CF, (c) 0.5% by vol. microscale CF and 1.0% by vol. macro end hook steel fibers (S), (d) 1.0% by vol. microscale CF and 1.0% by vol. macro end hook steel fibers (S). The second objective of the study was achieved by testing the specimens prepared with FRCP containing 1.0% by volume of macro end hook steel fibers, CF0S1, then adding micro-scale carbon fibers (CF) by volume to the CF0S1. These percentage additions varied from 0% to 1%, with a 0.5% step change, giving 0% vol. (CF0S1), 0.5% vol. (CF0.5S1), and 1.0% vol. (CF1S1).

### 2.1 Materials and Mix proportions

The constituent materials used to produce the conductive FRCP were Type 1 Ordinary Portland cement manufactured in Iraq with the commercial name of Tasluga, Bazian, which was compliant with the Iraqi Specifications No. 5, 1984 [45]; fly ash (Class-F), per ASTM-C618 (2005) [46]; and silica fume according to requirements of ASTM-C1240 [47], to enhance the distribution of smart materials. Table 1 lists the chemical compositions of the various materials. Crushed gravel of 19 mm maximum size and fine Zone III aggregate, compliant with the Iraqi Specifications No. 45, 1984 [48] was adopted. A high range water reducer (HRWR) based on modified polycarboxylate-ether, Sika 5930-L, was used as a superplasticizer to mobilize the workability characteristics of FRCP. In terms of the smart materials, or electrically conductive materials (ECMs), microscale carbon fibers of 12mm length (CF12) were used in two different percentages in the FRCP mixes and macro end hook steel fibers (S) with lengths of 30 mm and 50 mm were used, as shown in Figure 2. Table 2 lists the physical properties of the FRCP. The mix proportions of the concrete matrix used in this study were the same for all specimens tested, as shown in Table 3. The selected mix proportions were based on previous studies [9, 10, 49-51].

### 2.2 Mixing procedure

All materials included in the FRCP were mixed in the laboratory with a planetary mixer of 100 L maximum capacity. The crushed gravel and fine aggregates were mixed in a dry state for three minutes initially; then, the Portland cement (PC), fly ash (FA) and microscale carbon fibers (CF) were added to the dry aggregate blend. Mixing was continued for an additional 10 minutes, then the SF, HRWRA, and water were mixed separately before being added to the previously prepared PC-FA-CF-aggregate blend, with mixing continued for a further 5 minutes. Finally, micro end hook steel fibers (S) were added to the fresh concrete mixture, which was mixed for additional 5 minutes to produce a homogenous material.




Figure 1: Flow chart of the experimental program

### 2.3 Specimen Preparation

The concrete mixture was cast into  $\phi 150 \times 65$  mm disc molds. Electrodes were inserted at 27.5 mm inwards from the side surfaces and perpendicular to the pouring face of the disc mold. All samples were subjected to slight vibration using a table vibrator to remove air bubbles before being covered with a plastic sheet and stored at room temperature in the lab for 24 hours as shown in Figure 3. All specimens were cured in a laboratory in a curing tank set at  $23 \pm 2$  °C. After curing, the specimens were dried for 24 hours at 60 °C to eliminate any possible effects of internal humidity on electrical measurements due to polarization [22, 52-54]. The specimens were then tested at the ages of 7 and 28 days.

### 2.4 Impact test setup for electro-mechanical measurement and procedures

Impact test was conducted in this research to evaluate the electromechanical performance of the hybrid fiber-reinforced concrete under repeated impact loading (dynamic load) using the repeated blows test that is recommended by ACI544.2R (2017) [5]. The impact strength, which is dependent on the energy absorption capacity (impact energy) of each specimen was calculated using Equation (1) at 7 and 28 days old. Dimensions are shown in Figure 4.

Table 1: Chemical compositions of cementitious materials

Chemical Composition %	Cement	Fly Ash	Silica fume
SiO <sub>2</sub>	21.33	52.22	85.96
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.74	16.58	1.2
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4.76	6.6	0.84
CaO	62.35	7.98	0.62
SO <sub>3</sub>	2.01	0.02	-
MgO	3.37	2.1	1.02

Table 2: Physical properties of FRCP

Material	Properties	
Normal Portland Cement	Specific Gravity	3.06
	Specific surface area (m <sup>2</sup> /kg)	394
Fly ash	Specific Gravity	2.02
	Specific surface area (m <sup>2</sup> /kg)	295
Silica fume	Specific Gravity	2.4
	Specific surface area (m <sup>2</sup> /kg)	15000
Crushed gravel	Specific Gravity	2.63
	Water Absorption %	1.167
Fine Aggregates	Specific Gravity	2.6
	Gradation	Zone III
	Fineness Modulus	2.5
High range water reducer (HRWR)	Specific Gravity	1.15
	Length of Fiber	12 mm
Micro scale Carbon Fiber	Specific Gravity	1.7
	Carbon Content	95%
	Tensile Strength MPA	4150
	Tensile Modulus Gpa	252

Macro end Hook Steel Fiber	Filament Diameter ( $\mu$ m)	7
	Length of Fiber	30 mm
	Specific Gravity	7.8
	Aspect Ratio	60
	Filament Diameter (mm)	0.5
	Tensile Strength MPA	1650
	Length of Fiber	50 mm
	Aspect Ratio	71
	Filament Diameter (mm)	0.7
	Tensile Strength MPA	1800

**Table 3:** Mixture proportions for FRCP.


Unit Weight ( $\text{kg/m}^3$ )						
Cement	Fly ash	Silica fume	W/B	SP	Sand	Gravel
400	180	30	0.4	6.1	588	788



**Figure 2:** Smart materials added to the concrete matrix: (a) micro-scale carbon fiber with 12mm length; (b) macro end hook steel fiber with 30 mm and 50 mm lengths.



**Figure 3:** Stages of specimens' preparation



**Figure 4:** Impact test setup [55]


$$E_{imp} = m \times g \times h \times n \quad (1)$$

where:  $E_{imp}$ : absorbed energy (J).  $m$ : drop mass (4.5) kg.  $g$ : gravity acceleration (9.8)  $m/s^2$ .  $n$ : number of impacts.  $h$ : falling depth (45) cm.

The system employed in this study to calculate the changes in electrical resistivity with impact load application was based on a two-probe method. Two brass electrodes with a width of 10 mm were embedded into each specimen when it was in a fresh state parallel to the applied load. The electrodes were thus perpendicular to the indirect tensile strain. The locations and dimensions of the electrodes are exhibited in Figure 5. To capture the electrical resistivity of the tested specimen, a digital multi-meter was used using the two-probe method under an applied direct current (DC).

The test procedure, firstly, starts by putting a thin rubber on the steel base plate to electrically isolate the specimen being tested before positioning between the base lugs, and then the specimen is bolted using the positioning brackets. Secondly, a 4.54 kg drop weight is released from a height of 45 cm repeatedly by using the electromechanical method that hits a steel ball with a diameter of 64 mm, which would come in contact with the top surface of the concrete specimen. The number of impact blows was recorded automatically by the device and during the test application, the electrical resistance was measured by the digital multimeter. Finally, the test continues until the fracture of the specimen.

The electrical resistance was then translated using Equation (2) into electrical resistivity ( $\rho$ ) of the material; the digital multi-meter data, as based on the theory underlying in Equation (2) was then used to evaluate the piezoresistive behaviour of the FRCP in terms of fractional change in electrical resistivity (FCER) by applying Equation (3) under the impact load. The electrical resistance was captured with the aid of software designed to connect the digital multimeter with the computer, as shown in Figure 6.



**Figure 5:** Geometrical details of electrodes (all dimensions are in mm)



**Figure 6:** Impact loading setup: (1) a digital multi-meter (2) electrical resistivity software (3) drop hammer with 4.5kg weight (4) probes for DC

$$\text{Electrical Resistivity (R)} = \rho \cdot A / L \quad (2)$$

Where  $\rho$  is the electrical resistance ( $\Omega$ );  $A$  is the area of cross-section ( $m^2$ ), and  $L$  is the space between the two electrodes (m).

$$\text{Fractional Change in Electrical Resistivity (FCER \%)} = \Delta R / R \times 100 \quad (3)$$

Where  $\Delta R$  is the change in electrical resistivity and  $R$  is the preliminary electrical resistivity of the specimen.

### 3. Experimental Results and Discussion

Impact load study was conducted according to ACI committee 544, and mechanical performance was investigated by averaging the number of blows at the final fracture to calculate the absorbed energy. Average absorbed energy results of the mixtures with different curing ages of FRCP were summarized in Table 4. In general, the addition of steel fiber to FRCP

improved the impact energy for concrete matrix compared with CF. for instance, for CF0S0-0.4-400 mixture, when 1.0% by vol. of steel fibers were added into FRCP, this increased the impact energy by 323% compared with the result of control with CF0.5% by vol., which increased the impact energy by only 10.8% after 7-day of curing, as shown in Figure 7. Because of their greater length and improved cohesion, macro-end hook steel fibers have a better performance than CF fibers because of their hook end [55]. Whereas adding of CF 0.5 and 1.0% by vol. into FRCP increasing the impact energy by 10 and 7 times, respectively compared with the control mixture after 7 days of curing. It can be seen in Figure 7 that CF contributes to delaying the development of microcracks at low stress. This can be attributed to the tensile strength compared with steel fibers [37, 56]. When the CF was added beyond 0.5% vol., it was observed a slight reduction in impact energy, which can be attributed to the increased air voids content in the concrete matrix at a high dosage of CF that led to the weak bond strength of fiber-matrix [57]. The impact energy results were gradually improved with long curing ages, as shown in Figure 7. This is due to the effect of continuous hydration reactions which densify the matrices of concrete [58].

The graphs shown in Figure 7 are based on the results of three-disc specimens for each mixture type and were drawn to monitor the changes in results of FCER with time, after applying impact-loading (falling hammer weight (4.5kg), drop height (45cm), falling time each 2 sec., continuous until failure). In general, the inclusion of CF into FRCP improves the piezoresistive behaviour under impact test, i.e. improves the stress/strain sensing ability and reversibility with unloading early. These phenomena were stated in previous studies [37, 59]. For CF0S1 mixture, after 7 days of curing, when CF 0.5% and 1.0% by vol. were added, the FCER were increased by 7 and 38 times, respectively compared with the results of CF0S1 at the 6th sec, as shown in Figure 8, which can be attributed to the presence of CFs that are considered more conductive than steel fibres. Also, the reversibility was improved upon unloading early (elastic range) [36]. That reversibility in the FCER results with unloading was attributable to the conductive filler separation and decreases that occurred due to the convergence of conductive fillers after the unloading, in addition to the high aspect ratio of these fillers [22]. Figure 9 shows the failure of specimens under impact load.




Figure 7: Effect of different fibers utilization on the average impact energy results




Figure 8: Effect of carbon fibers utilization on the average FCER results under impact load for different mixtures



**Figure 9:** Failure of disc specimens under impact load

**Table 4:** Impact Strength properties of FRCP

Impact Energy (KJ)		
FRCP mix	7 <sup>th</sup> day	28 <sup>th</sup> day
CF0S0	4.5	5.1
FC0.5S0	4.99	5.5
CF0S1	19.05	24.588
CF0.5S1	49.99	63.504
CF1S1	34.556	41.675

## 4. Conclusions

This study examined the comparative impact energy behaviors among macro-end hook steel fiber, microscale carbon fiber, and hybrid fiber of FRCPs under impact load. This involved the use of 1% by volume of mixture macro end hook steel fiber (S) (with lengths of 30 and 50mm) in FRCPS1 and, in other FRCP mixes, the use of 0.5% by volume micro-scale carbon fiber with length 12mm (CF12) FRCPCF1 and addition of 0.5 and 1.0% vol. CF to FRCPS1 mixtures. The effect of CF content was also investigated concerning the self-sensing capabilities of FRCP under impact load. Two CF volume fractions (0.5% and 1.0%) were investigated in this way. The following conclusions can be drawn based on the results:

- 1) The results showed an increase in impact energy with an increase in the content of fibers in the concrete.
- 2) The CF0S1 mixture showed enhanced impact energy followed by CF0.5S0, while the superior impact energy was gotten with the mixture CF0.5S1.
- 3) The addition of 0.5 % CF by volume improved the impact energy of the FRCP better than other percentages.
- 4) Improved self-sensing capability was achieved by increasing the CF content, with higher self-sensing capacity obtained at 1.0% vol., which also enhanced reversibility upon unloading at the elastic range.

### Author contribution

All authors contributed equally to this work.

### Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

### Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

## References

- [1] M. Nili and V. J. I. j. o. i. e. Afroughsabet, Combined effect of silica fume and steel fibers on the impact resistance and mechanical properties of concrete, *International journal of impact engineering*, 37, 8, 2010,879-886.
- [2] H. Alabduljabbar, R. Alyousef, F. Alrshoudi, A. Alaskar, A. Fathi, and A. J. F. Mustafa Mohamed, Mechanical effect of steel fiber on the cement replacement materials of self-compacting concrete, *Fibers*, 7, 4, 2019,36.
- [3] V. Ramkumar, G. Murali, N. P. Asrani, and K. J. J. o. B. E. Karthikeyan, Development of a novel low carbon cementitious two stage layered fibrous concrete with superior impact strength, *Journal of Building Engineering*, 25, 2019,100841.
- [4] V. Gopalaratnam and S. P. Shah, Properties of steel fiber reinforced concrete subjected to impact loading, in *Journal Proceedings*, 83, 1, 1989,117-126.

- [5] ACI Committee 544: ACI PRC-544.2-17: Report on the Measurement of Fresh State Properties and Fiber Dispersion of Fiber-Reinforced Concrete, ACI, USA, Report 2017.
- [6] B. Han and J. Ou, Embedded piezoresistive cement-based stress/strain sensor, *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 138, 2, 2007,294-298.
- [7] L. Vertuccio, L. Guadagno, G. Spinelli, P. Lamberti, V. Tucci, and S. J. C. P. B. E. Russo, Piezoresistive properties of resin reinforced with carbon nanotubes for health-monitoring of aircraft primary structures, *Journal of Civil Structural Health Monitoring*, 107, 2016,192-202.
- [8] D. D. J. M. S. Chung and E. R. Reports, Self-monitoring structural materials, *Materials Science and Engineering*, 22, 2, 1998, 57-78.
- [9] A. Al-Dahawi, O. Öztürk, F. Emami, G. Yıldırım, and M. Şahmaran, Effect of mixing methods on the electrical properties of cementitious composites incorporating different carbon-based materials, *Construction and Building Materials*, 104, 2016,160-168.
- [10] A. Al-Dahawi et al., Electrical percolation threshold of cementitious composites possessing self-sensing functionality incorporating different carbon-based materials, *Smart Materials and Structures*, 25, 10, 2016,1-15.
- [11] A. M. Al-Dahawi, Effect of curing age on the self-sensing behavior of carbon-based engineered cementitious composites (ECC) under monotonic flexural loading scenario, *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 162, 2018.
- [12] G. Yıldırım, M. H. Sarwary, A. Al-Dahawi, O. Öztürk, Ö. Anıl, and M. Şahmaran, Piezoresistive behavior of CF- and CNT-based reinforced concrete beams subjected to static flexural loading: Shear failure investigation, *Construction and Building Materials*, 168, 2016, 266-279.
- [13] M. H. Sarwary et al., Self-Sensing of Flexural Damage in Large-Scale Steel-Reinforced Mortar Beams, *ACI Materials Journal*, 116, 4,2019,209-221.
- [14] F. Mussa, A. Al-Dahawi, Q. S. Banyhussan, M. R. Baanoon, and M. A. Shalash, Carbon Fiber-Reinforced Asphalt Concrete: An Investigation of Some Electrical and Mechanical Properties, *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 737,2020,012122.
- [15] G. Yıldırım, O. Öztürk, A. Al-Dahawi, A. A. Ulu, and M. Şahmaran, Self-sensing capability of Engineered Cementitious Composites: Effects of aging and loading conditions, *Construction and Building Materials*, 231, 2020,117-132.
- [16] D. Chung, Electrically conductive cement-based materials, *Advances in Cement Research*, 16, 4, 2004,167-176.
- [17] P. Xie, P. Gu, and J. J. Beaudoin, Electrical percolation phenomena in cement composites containing conductive fibres, *Journal of Materials Science*, 30, 1996,4093-4097.
- [18] S. Wen and D. Chung, Cement-based controlled electrical resistivity materials, *Journal of electronic materials*, 30, 11, 2001,1448-1451.
- [19] B. Han, X. Yu, and J. Ou, *Self-sensing concrete in smart structures*. Butterworth Heinemann-Elsevier, pages cm,2014
- [20] H.-y. Chu, J.-k. J. C. Chen, and C. Composites, The experimental study on the correlation of resistivity and damage for conductive concrete, *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 67, 2016,12-19.
- [21] S. Rana, P. Subramani, R. Figueiro, and A. G. J. A. M. S. Correia, A review on smart self-sensing composite materials for civil engineering applications, *AIMS Materials Science*, 3, 2,2016,357-379.
- [22] A. Al-Dahawi, G. Yıldırım, O. Öztürk, and M. Şahmaran, Assessment of self-sensing capability of Engineered Cementitious Composites within the elastic and plastic ranges of cyclic flexural loading, *Construction and Building Materials*, 145, 2017, 1-10.
- [23] D.-J. Kwon, Z.-J. Wang, J.-Y. Choi, P.-S. Shin, K. L. DeVries, and J.-M. J. C. P. B. E. Park, Damage sensing and fracture detection of CNT paste using electrical resistance measurements, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 90, 2016,386-391.
- [24] A. Bouhamed, A. Al-Hamry, C. Müller, S. Choura, and O. J. C. P. B. E. Kanoun, Assessing the electrical behaviour of MWCNTs/epoxy nanocomposite for strain sensing, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 128, 2017, 91-99.
- [25] G. Georgousis et al., Strain and damage monitoring in SBR nanocomposites under cyclic loading, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 131, 2017,50-61.
- [26] J. Wang, W. Wang, C. Zhang, and W. J. C. P. B. E. Yu, The electro-mechanical behavior of conductive filler reinforced polymer composite undergone large deformation: A combined numerical-analytical study, 133, 2018,185-192.
- [27] S. Wen, D. J. C. Chung, and C. Research, Uniaxial tension in carbon fiber reinforced cement, sensed by electrical resistivity measurement in longitudinal and transverse directions, *Cement and Concrete Research*, 30, 8, 2000,1289-1294.
- [28] S. Wen, D. J. C. Chung, and c. research, Uniaxial compression in carbon fiber-reinforced cement, sensed by electrical resistivity measurement in longitudinal and transverse directions, *Cement and Concrete Research*, 31, 2, 2001,297-301.



- [29] S. Wen and D. Chung, Self-sensing of flexural damage and strain in carbon fiber reinforced cement and effect of embedded steel reinforcing bars, *Carbon*, 44, 8, 2006,1496-1502.
- [30] S. Wen and D. Chung, Electrical-resistance-based damage self-sensing in carbon fiber reinforced cement, *Carbon*, 45, 4,2007,710-716.
- [31] D. G. Meehan, S. Wang, and D. Chung, Electrical-resistance-based sensing of impact damage in carbon fiber reinforced cement-based materials, *Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures*, 21,1,2010,83-105.
- [32] D. L. Nguyen, J. Song, C. Manathamsombat, and D. J. J. C. P. B. E. Kim, Comparative electromechanical damage-sensing behaviors of six strain-hardening steel fiber-reinforced cementitious composites under direct tension, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 69, 2015,159-168.
- [33] J. Song, D. L. Nguyen, C. Manathamsombat, and D. J. J. J. o. C. M. Kim, Effect of fiber volume content on electromechanical behavior of strain-hardening steel-fiber-reinforced cementitious composites, *Journal of Composite Materials*, 49, 29, 2015,3621-3634.
- [34] Q. Mao, B. Zhao, D. Sheng, and Z. L. J. J. o. W. U. o. T.-M. Science, Resistance changement of compression sensible cement specimen under different stresses, *Journal of Wuhan University of Technology-Materials Science*,1996.
- [35] M. K. Kim, D. J. Kim, and Y.-K. J. C. P. B. E. An, Electro-mechanical self-sensing response of ultra-high-performance fiber-reinforced concrete in tension, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 134, 2018,254-264.
- [36] N. Banthia, S. Djeridane, M. J. C. Pigeon, and C. research, Electrical resistivity of carbon and steel micro-fiber reinforced cements, *Cement and Concrete research*, 22, 5, 1992,804-814.
- [37] S. Wen and D. Chung, A comparative study of steel-and carbon-fibre cement as piezoresistive strain sensors, *Advances in Cement Research*, 15, 3,2003,119-128.
- [38] A. Naaman and H. Reinhardt, Characterization of high performance fiber reinforced cement composites—HPFRCC, in *High performance fiber reinforced cement composites*,2, 1996, 1-24.
- [39] D. joo Kim, S. El-Tawil, A. E. J. M. Naaman, and Structures, Rate-dependent tensile behavior of high performance fiber reinforced cementitious composites, *Composites Part B: Engineering* 42, 3, 2009,399-414.
- [40] D. L. Nguyen, D. J. Kim, G. S. Ryu, and K. T. J. C. P. B. E. Koh, Size effect on flexural behavior of ultra-high-performance hybrid fiber-reinforced concrete, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 45, 1, 2013,1104-1116.
- [41] D. L. Nguyen, G. S. Ryu, K. T. Koh, and D. J. J. C. P. B. E. Kim, Size and geometry dependent tensile behavior of ultra-high-performance fiber-reinforced concrete, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 58,2014, 279-292.
- [42] [42] D.-L. Nguyen, D.-K. Thai, and D.-J. J. T. J. o. S. A. f. E. D. Kim, Direct tension-dependent flexural behavior of ultra-high-performance fiber-reinforced concretes, *The Journal of Strain Analysis for Engineering Design*, 52, 2, 2017, 121-134.
- [43] N. A. al-Bayati, N. A. J. E. Hadi, and T. Journal, Experimental Behavior of Hybrid Steel and Polypropylene Fiber Reinforced Concrete Deep Beam Containing Openings, *Engineering and Technology Journal* 36, 2A, 2018.
- [44] M. H. F. Rasheed, A. Z. S. J. E. Agha, and T. Journal, Computational Analysis of punching shear models of steel fiber reinforced concrete slabs, *Engineering and Technology Journal* 38, 2A2020,126-142.
- [45] Iraqi specification 5: Portland cement, 1984.
- [46] Standard specification for coal fly ash and raw or calcined natural pozzolan for use in concrete, 2005.
- [47] Standard Specification for Silica Fume Used in Cementitious Mixtures, 2020.
- [48] Iraq Specofications. 45: Aggregate sieve analysis, 1984.
- [49] Q. S. Banyhussan, G. Yıldırım, E. Bayraktar, S. Demirhan, M. J. C. Şahmaran, and b. materials, Deflection-hardening hybrid fiber reinforced concrete: The effect of aggregate content, *Structural Concrete*, 125, 2016,41-52.
- [50] Q. S. Banyhussan, G. Yıldırım, Ö. Anıl, R. T. Erdem, A. Ashour, and M. J. S. C. Şahmaran, Impact resistance of deflection-hardening fiber reinforced concretes with different mixture parameters, *Structural Concrete*, 20, 3, 2019,1036-1050.
- [51] S. Demirhan et al., Impact behaviour of nanomodified deflection-hardening fibre-reinforced concretes, *Magazine of Concrete Research*, 72, 17, 2020, 865-887.
- [52] H. Li, J. Ou, H. Xiao, X. Guan, and B. Han, Nanomaterials-enabled multifunctional concrete and structures, in *Nanotechnology in civil infrastructure : A paradigm shift*, K. Gopalakrishnan, B. Birgisson, P. Taylor, and N. O. Attoh-Okine, Eds. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 131-173,2011.
- [53] M.-q. Sun, R. J. Liew, M.-H. Zhang, and W. Li, Development of cement-based strain sensor for health monitoring of ultra high strength concrete, *Construction and Building Materials*, 65, 2014,630-637.

- [54] G. J. E. Salman and T. Journal, Density and ultrasonic pulse velocity investigation of self-compacting carbon fiber-reinforced concrete, *Engineering and Technology Journal* 36, 1A, 2018.
- [55] A. A. Nia, M. Hedayatian, M. Nili, and V. A. J. I. J. o. I. E. Sabet, An experimental and numerical study on how steel and polypropylene fibers affect the impact resistance in fiber-reinforced concrete, *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 46, 2012,62-73.
- [56] D.-L. Nguyen, D.-J. Kim, and D.-K. J. M. Thai, Enhancing damage-sensing capacity of strain-hardening macro-steel fiber-reinforced concrete by adding low amount of discrete carbons, *Materials Journal*, 12, 6, 2019,938.
- [57] P.-W. Chen, X. Fu, and D. J. M. J. Chung, Microstructural and mechanical effects of latex, methylcellulose, and silica fume on carbon fiber reinforced cement, *Materials Journal*, 94, 2, 1997, 147-155.
- [58] Q. S. Banyhussan, A. N. Hanoon, A. Al-Dahawi, G. Yıldırım, and A. A. Abdulhameed, Development of gravitational search algorithm model for predicting packing density of cementitious pastes, *Journal of Building Engineering*, 27, 2020.
- [59] D. D. L. Chung, Piezoresistive cement-based materials for strain sensing, *Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures*, 13, 9, 2002,599-609.