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Abstract
Numerous efforts have been directed to convert the physical model (in-hand model) to a computer model. This work is dedicated for the cubic Bezier surface reconstruction based on finding the positions of the sixteen control points that form the surface of the product. The idea is based on inverse progressive search (IPS) method rather than the approximate surface fitting method already used in previous researches. The presented method is based on three successive steps: (a) converting the continuous coordinate measuring machine (CMM) data to discrete data, (b) estimating the positions of the 12 boundary control points and (c) estimating the positions of the 4 intermediate control points to generate the intended surface. To show the feasibility of the suggested method two experimental examples are conducted. The results show the validity and effectiveness of the method from the accuracy and computation time point of view.
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طريقة جديدة لإعادة تركيب سطح بيير ثلاثي الإبعاد من الدرجة الثالثة بالاعتماد على طريقة مطابقة مضلع السطح

الخلاصة
هناك الكثير من الجهود التي بذلت من قبل الباحثين والرامية إلى تحويل النماذج أو المنتجات النهائية إلى نماذج مبردة (نماذج حاسوبية). يهدف البحث الحالي إلى إعادة إنشاء سطح بيير من الدرجة الثالثة بالاعتماد على ايجاد مواقع نقاط السطح الابتدائي للسنة عشر المكونة لسطح النموذج النهائي. الفكرة (Surface Fitting) تعتمد على طريقة البحث العكسي التقدمي (IPS) بدلاً من طريقة إباص الأسطح التقريبية المستخدمة في البحوث السابقة. الطريقة المقترحة تعتمد على ثلاثة مراحل متواجية: (أ) تحويل البيانات المستمرة لماكينة قياس الإحداثيات إلى بيانات متقطعة, (ب) تخمين مواقع نقاط السطح الابتدائي لسبيكة الامتداد السطحية للسطح, (ج) تخمين نقاط السطح الداخلية لتوليد السطح المطلوب. لغرض معرفة امكانية تطبيق الطريقة المقترحة تم إعادة توليد سطحين لنموذجين مختلفين. أثبتت النتائج مشروعة الطريقة المقترحة وكفاءتها عالية من حيث الدقة والسرعة
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1. Introduction
The objective of reverse engineering (RE) is to convert the physical prototype (PP) to a virtual prototype (VP). The physical prototype may be in the form of clay or wooden prototype produced by artisan. The mass production of such prototypes can be done by copy milling machine. Accordingly, this method of production become fruitless from the economical point of view. If the PP is converted to VP using RE, the copy milling machine can be dispensed with a CNC milling machine. Consequently, the production can be conducted by integration between CAD/CAM to speed up the machining cycle. Meanwhile, the PP may be a final product converted from its original VP conducted by a somewhat manufacturing process such as casting, machining, forming, ...etc.

A very important problem arise when the manufacturing engineer want to compare between the produced physical prototype and its virtual prototype (CAD model). The exception for this when the physical prototypes have a primitive shapes (cube, cylinder, hemisphere , ..etc.). On the other hand, when the physical prototypes have complex free from shapes, the comparison between physical prototypes and virtual prototypes become a tricky matter from both topological and geometrical point of view. Undoubtedly, the comparison between physical prototypes and virtual prototypes of free shapes could not be achieved directly. The reason is that the VP described mathematically as a function of two independent variables [1]:

$$S(u,v) = \begin{bmatrix} S_x(u,v) & S_y(u,v) & S_z(u,v) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(u,v) \in [0,1]$$

......(1)

in the $u$ and $v$ domain, while the physical prototypes have no mathematical description just the chaotic points of specific coordinate in the Cartesian domain. As a consequence, for any point $P(x,y,z)$ relates to the physical prototype one can’t know the specific value of $u$ and $v$ parameter which leads to its corresponding point in the virtual prototype. In other word, the digitization of virtual prototype is based on $u$ and $v$ values, while the digitization of physical prototype is based on the $x$ and $y$ range which in turn depend on the size and shape of the object itself and the increment of measurements. These reasons reflect the importance of RE in converting the measured points of physical prototype to its original virtual prototype. The surface fitting and interpolation techniques are widely used methods to convert the 3D measured points to parametric surfaces[2]. Many considerable efforts discussed the problem of converting the know 3D data to its virtual prototype [3-6]. The synopsis of these works is that they depend on the iteration method for surfaces fitting and interpolation. An approach to represent the Euclidean distance between the virtual prototype and physical prototype was presented by Pratt [3], the parametric variables $u$ and $v$ are solved iteratively using two
nonlinear equations. Rogers and Fig [4] utilizes the first order Taylor series to optimize the parametric variables. Sarkar and Menq [5] proposed an explicit expression as an optimization method. Bing Li et.al [6] proposed a non contact method to acquire the 3D large profile information based on laser scanning measurements technology. This work is dedicated to present a reverse surface approximation algorithm (RSA) for the reconstruction of complex 3D Bezier surfaces from 3D measured sparse points. The Bezier surface technique is nominated in this work since it is become a famous tool in computer aided geometric design CAGD [7,8] . The proposed reverse method is based on estimation the position of the sixteen control points of the Bezier polygon from the given measured 3D data points based on an inverse iterative procedure. Figure(1) shows the relation between virtual prototype and physical prototype, the Figure also depicts the proposed RE procedure (the material of the present work).

2. Problem Statements and Solution Approach
Mainly, there are three problems concerning with conversion of known 3D data- produced by CMM- to surface model:

1. Converting the 3D CMM data to successive sets of points.
2. Finding the control points of the boundary curves.
3. Finding the intermediate control points.

Table 1 can be used as an aid to understand the solution of the first problem. This table represent an assumed CMM data of the surface presented in Figure2. The vector modulus L appears in previous table 1 is used as a criterion for the distinguishing between each two successive sets of points which can be calculated as follows;

\[ L = \sqrt{(x_{il} - x_i)^2 + (y_{il} - y_i)^2 + (z_{il} - z_i)^2} \]

where n is the number of data points. From the previous table 1, it is observed that the vector modulus seems to be uniform for some points and then increased sharply at another points. This increases in the value of vector modulus takes place when the CMM probe’s transfer from curve to another or when change its motion from forward feed direction to cross feed direction.

As a consequence, in the present work, the length of the first vector was used as a threshold (\( T_h \)) value to sort the successive sets of points. Any successive points will represent the same set of points if the following condition has been satisfied:

\[ \frac{L_{i+1}}{L_i} \gg T_h \quad \forall \ i \in n \ \ \text{......(3)} \]

Figure 3 shows a plot of the vector modulus ratios resembled in table 1.
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The sharp change in the vector modulus ratio represent the change from curve to another one which are indicated by red cells in table 1. Figure 4,a shows the plot of the proposed 3D CMM data of table 1, while Figure 4,b shows the converted or processed 3D CMM data according to Eq.2. The 2nd and 3rd problem are solved later on in the next section.

3. The reverse surface interpolation algorithm

The idea of reverse surface interpolation algorithm is based on finding the positions of the 16 control points of the Bezier polygon and its four boundary curves. According to the properties of the Bezier surface [9,10], only the four corner points joined with the control polygons as figure 5 depicts. Accordingly, for each boundary set of points, there are two known control points (flagged by fill red circles in figure 5) and two unknown control points (fill black squares in the same figure 5). The procedure adopted to fined the unknown control points is detailed in the following subsection.

3.1 Boundary Curve Approximation

Figure 6 shows the graphical steps of constructing Bezier curve.

**Given** $P_1$ & $P_4$  
**Wanted** $P_2$ & $P_3$

Using the parametric linear interpolation, the following sets of equations can be obtained:

- $P_5 = P_1 + u(P_2 + P_1)$
- $P_6 = P_2 + u(P_3 + P_2)$
- $P_7 = P_3 + u(P_4 + P_3)$
- $P_8 = P_5 + u(P_6 + P_3)$
- $P_9 = P_6 + u(P_7 + P_6)$

**P = P_5 + u(P_9 + P_5) \quad \ldots \ldots (4)**

Where $P$ is any point on the Bezier curves. After some substitutions between the terms of Eq.4, the following equation can be obtained:

$$P(u) = P_1 U_1 + P_2 U_2 + P_3 U_3 + P_4 U_4 \quad \ldots \ldots (5)$$

Where

- $U_1 = 1 - 3u + 3u^2 - u^3$
- $U_2 = 3u - 6u^2 + 3u^3$
- $U_3 = 3u^2 - 3u^3$
- $U_4 = u^3$

when $u = 0$

- $U_1 = 1 \quad U_2 = U_3 = U_4 = 0$

so, $P(0) = P_1$

when $u = 1$

- $U_1 = U_2 = U_3 = 0 \quad U_4 = 1$

So, $P(1) = P_4$

Therefore, the point $P$ moves from $P_1$ to $P_4$ along the curves as the $u$ increased from $0 \rightarrow 1$. Equation 5 represents the target of the present proposed reverse algorithm.

3.2 The Proposed Reverse Algorithm

**Given**: a set of boundary points (recognized CMM data)  
**Wanted**: four control points of the boundary Bezier polygon.

**Procedure**:

1. set $P_1 = P^i \quad P_4 = P^n$
2. Draw tangent line from \( P^1 \) and passing through \( P_2 \) (see fig. 7).
3. Draw tangent line from \( P^n \) passing through \( P^{n-1} \).
4. Extended the two tangents to met at \( P^c \).
5. Fined the parametric equations of the two tangents \( L_1(t) \) and \( L_2(t) \):
   \[
   V_1(t) = P^1 + t(P^c - P^1) \\
   V_2(t) = P^n + t(P^c - P^n) \quad \cdots(7)
   \]
Undoubtedly, the 2\(^{nd}\) control point \( P_2 \) will be along \( V_1(t) \) and 3\(^{rd}\) control point along \( V_1(t) \). An iterative scheme with the aid of Eq. 5 will used here to fined \( P_2 \) and \( P_3 \) as follows:

6. set \( t = 0 \), \( u = 0 \)
7. \( t = 0 \)
8. Let
   \[
   P_2(t) = P_1 + t(P^c - P_1) \quad \cdots(8)
   \]
9. \( P_3(t) = P^c + s(P_3 - P^c) \). \( \cdots(9) \)
   \[
   P(u) = P_1U_1 + P_2U_2 + P_3U_3 + P_4U_4 \\
   \forall P_2, P_3 \quad \cdots(10)
   \]
11. \( u = u + \Delta u \)
   If \( u < 1 \) Go to 10
   Otherwise
   \[
   e_{av} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (e_i)^2} \\
   e_i = |P - P'| \quad \cdots(11)
   \]
   where \( e_{av} \) is the root-mean squares error (RMS), \( P' \) represent the measured points and \( P \) represents the corresponding point on the interpolated curves.
12. If \( e_{av} > e_{\text{max}} \) Go to 9
   Otherwise
   \[
   P_2(t) = P_4 \quad ; \quad P_3(t) = P_3
   \]
13. If \( t < 1 \)
   \( t = t + \Delta t \) Go to 8
   Otherwise
14. End

3.3 An Example
In this section, and to avoid future ambiguity, the following example has been introduced, to demonstrate in detail the proposed algorithm.

Table 2 shows the \( x, y, z \) coordinate of the assumed CMM data for a certain set of points. The plot of these data is depicted in Fig.8.

According to data presented in table 2 and referring to Fig.8, the following linear equations can be evaluated:

\[
\begin{align*}
L_1 : Z &= Z_1 + \frac{z_2 - z_1}{x_2 - x_1} (x - x_1) \quad \cdots(13) \\
L_2 : Z &= Z_4 + \frac{z_{n-1} - z_4}{x_2 - x_1} (x - x_4) \\
\end{align*}
\]

To fined the intersection point, the two lines should be equated:

\[
L_1 = L_2 \quad \text{at} \quad P_c
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\cdot \quad m_1 (x - x_1) + z_1 - m_2 (x - x_4) + z_4 \\
m_1 x - m_2 x &= z_4 - z_1 + m_1 x_1 - m_2 x_2 \\
x(m_1 - m_2) &= z_4 - z_1 + m_1 x_1 - m_2 x_2
\end{align*}
\]
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\[ x^c = x = \frac{z_4 - z_3 + m_1 x_1 - m_2 x_4}{m_1 - m_2} \]
Substituting Eq.15 in Eq.13 gives:
\[ Z^c = m_1 (x^c - x_1) + z_1 \]

Accordingly, the parametric equation of \( V_1 \) & \( V_2 \) is (See Fig.9)
\[ V_1(t) = P^1 + t(P^c - P^1) \]
\[ V_2(t) = P^2 + t(P^c - P^4) \]

The objective of primary and secondary search is to find the second and the third control points of each boundary curve. Figure 10 shows a schematic representation of the iteration procedure which is coded in MATLAB programming language[11,12] as follows:

```matlab
px2 = [5 0 0 7.15 9.3 9.3];
px3 = [13.6 9.2 15.2];
pz2 = [6 7.75 9.5];
pz3 = [13 11.75];
k=1;
for s=1:3  % the number of elements in px2 vector
    for t=1:2  %the number of elements in px3 vector
        px1 = [5 px2(s) px3(t) 20];
        pz1 = [6 pz2(s) pz3(t) 8];
        M = [1 0 0 0; -3 3 0 0; 3 -6 3 0; -1 3 -3 1];  % the Bezier curve Matrix
        I=1;
        for u=0:0.1:1
            U = [1 u u^2 u^3];  % the vector of the independent parameter u of Bezier curve
            x(I) = U*M*px1';
            z(I) = U*M*pz1';  % the Cartesian valued function of Bezier curve
        end
        subplot(3,2,k);plot(x,z,'r')
        hold on
        subplot(3,2,k);plot(px1,pz1)
        k=k+1;
    end
end
The aforementioned progressive search procedure should be employed for each boundary curve. Up to now, the four control points of each boundary curves has been specified as shown in Fig.11. In other word, 12 control point out of 16 control points have been specified. The remaining four control points are called intermediate points as show in fig.12. The procedure adopted to fined the two control points of each boundary curves is also adopted here to fined the intermediate control points. The exception for this that the two tangent vectors are passed between \( P_{11} \) & \( P_{44} \) to fined \( P_{21} \) & \( P_{34} \), and between \( P_{14} \) & \( P_{41} \) to fined \( P_{23} \) & \( P_{32} \) control points (see Fig.12).

4. Experimental Work
Two experiment examples are demonstrated to show the validity of the proposed inverse algorithm. The first example deals with a perfume canister with a concave surface. Fig.13 shows an image of this canister. The dial gauge of a movable table in two dimensions. This arrangement versatile the measurements along x & y direction for any increment in the two directions. The isoparametric digitization approach of 1 mm increment was used to digitize this complex object in both x-and y-direction. Fig. 14 shows the x,y and z coordinates of the digitized canister. The sixteen control points of the Bezier surface which are generated by means of the proposed inverse search method are shown in Fig. 15. Fig.16 shows the fitted final surface. Figures 17 a,b and c show the average error each between the measured data points and the predicted surface along with x, y and z.
axis direction respectively. The second example is a light cover, this shape was selected to show the capability of the present method in extracting the concave shapes. Figures 18 to 22 show the results of this example.

5.1 Conclusions
A method for free-form surfaces reconstruction has been presented. It is based on an inverses progressive search for best control points that give the intended surface or near net surface. The procedure for the presented surface reconstruction method comprises three modules: the transformation module, the iteration module and the matching module. In the first module, the continuous space CMM data points are transformed into discrete sets of points. The second module is responsible for estimating the position of the surface control points using a multi steps iteration. This module may be regarded as the target of the proposed method. The latest module judge whether the estimated control points produce an error exceeds the allowable deviation limit. The results obtained from the error surface distribution shows that there is no error near the corners of the both shapes being tested since that the corner control points of Bezier surface lie exactly on it at these positions. Meanwhile, the produced error between the developed method and the exact shapes is with good agreement for most CAD and CAGD applications.

5.2. Contribution
The proposed method can yields a free-form surfaces without the needs for well known surface fitting or surface lofting methods. Therefore, the proposed method provides an additional tool for surface reconstruction.

5.3. Future Work
There are other types of free form surface which involves combined curvature profiles. A new modification to the current algorithm should be developed for such problems which make the iteration problem more difficult to solve.

5.4. Epilogue
Before now, there is no any previous work deals with the reconstruction of Bezier surfaces throughout looking for the control points. Accordingly, the proposed method open a new area in the RE context. The presented method for parametric surface reconstruction seems to be feasible, the feasibility is demonstrated by means of computer simulation and experimental runs.

References
Table (1) CMM data of the surface shown in Fig.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0000</td>
<td>5.0000</td>
<td>5.0000</td>
<td>5.0000</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16.9896</td>
<td>17.7021</td>
<td>11.1169</td>
<td>3.4710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.2500</td>
<td>5.6503</td>
<td>7.0205</td>
<td>3.0932</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20.6146</td>
<td>17.9060</td>
<td>11.2942</td>
<td>3.6351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.5000</td>
<td>5.8820</td>
<td>8.4740</td>
<td>2.6887</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24.6004</td>
<td>17.5030</td>
<td>10.7287</td>
<td>4.0458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>14.0000</td>
<td>5.5760</td>
<td>9.0320</td>
<td>2.2690</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8.6000</td>
<td>18.3200</td>
<td>7.1600</td>
<td>20.5817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>22.3503</td>
<td>8.3771</td>
<td>7.1294</td>
<td>3.6917</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>15.6470</td>
<td>24.8941</td>
<td>10.0235</td>
<td>3.6170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>15.7744</td>
<td>13.6657</td>
<td>10.8304</td>
<td>3.0484</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>32.6589</td>
<td>27.0251</td>
<td>10.0233</td>
<td>5.0442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>32.8155</td>
<td>33.5887</td>
<td>9.9402</td>
<td>4.7773</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table (2) Assumed CMM Data Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12.80</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13.10</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13.70</td>
<td>10.16</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>10.18</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>10.18</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>14.60</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14.90</td>
<td>10.16</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>15.50</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16.10</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>16.40</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>17.30</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>17.90</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>10.70</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>9.69</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>18.80</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>19.10</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>9.86</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>19.40</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure (1) The proposed reverse engineering approach

Figure (2) The assumed 3D surface.
Figure (3) Distribution the vector modules over the whole surface shows the sudden change in its modulus at some points.

Figure (4) The difference between chaotic CMM data points and the processing data.
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Figure (5) Properties and ingredients of a Bezier surface

Figure (6) Schematic Representation of Constructing Bezier Curve

Figure (7) Method of Determining the Boundary Control Points
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Figure (8) The Plot of Data Points Listed in Table 2.

Figure (9) The Adopted Progressive Search Method.

Figure (10) The Iteration Scheme to Find the Second and Third Control Points.
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Figure (11) The Estimated 12 Boundary Control Points of the Bezier Surface Using Proposed Method.

Figure (12) The Intermediate Control Points of the Bezier Surface (Black Circles).

Figure (13) The Measurement Setup of the First Example.

Figure (14) The Measured Data Points of the First Example.

Figure (15) The Estimated Control Points of the intended Surface.
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Figure (16) The Estimated Surface.

Figure (17) Error Distribution Between the Measured and Predicted surfaces, (a) X-axis Error, (b) Y-axis Error, (c) Z-axis Error
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Figure (18) The Measurements Setup of the Second Example.

Figure (19) The Measured Data Points of the Second Example.

Figure (20) The Estimated Control Points of the intended Surface
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Figure (21) The Estimated Surface of a Light Cover.

Figure (22) Error Distribution Between the Measured and Predicted surfaces, (a) X-axis Error, (b) Y-axis Error, (c) Z-axis Error.