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Abstract

A Model of wing section of type (NACA 23015) had been built to be investigated and study the aerodynamic characteristics in a subsonic wind tunnel at different angles of attack in order to calculate the aerodynamic coefficients such as lift, drag, moment and pressure coefficients. The effect of changing the angle of attack on the aerodynamic coefficients was investigated. Then a virtual model of the wing section and wind tunnel had been programmed and tested by available standard packages such as the (Design Foil) Program which uses Panel Method to calculate the aerodynamic coefficients, and the finite element package (Ansys Ver.9). A comparison was made to the arrived results with the experimental data to check the accuracy of the results, and both experimental and theoretical results were convergent. The percentage of similarity between the experimental and theoretical was 83% for the Aerodynamic characteristics.

Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Aspect ratio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Span</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/a</td>
<td>Mid thickness to radius ratio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Chord of the Airfoil</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_D</td>
<td>Drag coefficient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_L</td>
<td>Lift coefficient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_m</td>
<td>Moment coefficient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_m_p</td>
<td>Pitching Moment Coeff. in x - Direction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_m_z</td>
<td>Pitching Moment Coeff. in z - Direction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_p</td>
<td>Pressure coefficient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Drag</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Local Pressure</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h_u</td>
<td>Pressure on the upper section surface</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h_l</td>
<td>Pressure on the lower section surface</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Lift</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Moment on airfoil</td>
<td>N.m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Pressure on airfoil</td>
<td>N/m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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P<sub>o</sub> Local Pressure on Wing N/m<sup>2</sup>

P<sub>∞</sub> Freestream Pressure N/m<sup>2</sup>

q<sub>∞</sub> Dynamic Pressure N/m<sup>2</sup>

Re<sub>r</sub> Reynolds Number -

S Airfoil surface section area mm<sup>2</sup>

t Thickness mm

v Stream Velocity m/s

W.T Wind Tunnel -

Z<sub>1</sub> Thickness from the Lower Surface of the Wing mm

Z<sub>2</sub> Thickness from the Upper Surface of the Wing mm

α Angle of attack degree

ρ<sub>a</sub> Air density kg/m<sup>3</sup>

ρ<sub>ω</sub> Water density kg/m<sup>3</sup>

ρ<sub>∞</sub> Free stream density kg/m<sup>3</sup>

γ Angle Between C<sub>x</sub> & C<sub>y</sub> degree

∈ Angle of Slop Tangent degree

Other subscriptions were defined locally in the text.

Introduction

The investigation of aerodynamic characteristics of different types of airfoil model section is one of the most important fields in aeronautical engineering, to make these investigations there are two ways:
a. Experimental method.
b. Theoretical method.

The experimental method is to investigate the airfoil section model in wind tunnel and then calculate the results to find the aerodynamic coefficients, while the theoretical method is done by using one or more of modeling analyzing software programs though designing the airfoil section model and analyze it in that program. Barely the experimental method is much confident than the theoretical way in the end if the tests environment was perfect [1]. The purpose of this research is to investigate and calculate the aerodynamic coefficients such as lift, drag, moment and pressure coefficients. The effect of changing the angle of attack on the aerodynamic coefficients was investigated.

Theory

To investigate and calculate lift, drag and pitching moment coefficients from the pressure distribution on the airfoil section model NACA 23015 in the wind tunnel. Figure.(1) represents a section of an airfoil on incidence α to the fluid stream, which is assumed to be from left to right at a speed of (v). Through the nose of the airfoil are drawn axes (ox) and (oz) parallel and perpendicular to the chord line respectively. The chord of the airfoil is denoted by (c). The ordinates of the highest and lowest points of the section are (z<sub>2</sub>) and (z<sub>1</sub>) respectively, Fig.(2).

The airfoil may or may not be solid in either case its surface is regarded as a thin sheet of material, perfectly rigid with the pressure inside uniform at (P<sub>o</sub>), the static pressure of the undisturbed stream this is permissible, since the pressure are to be integrated round the surface and the integral of a uniform pressure over a closed surface is zero. Whatever its magnitude .Taking a slice of the airfoil of unit span wise length, consider the forces acting on a small element, of length (δ<sub>s</sub>), of the surface. The normal force of the element is composed of (Pδ<sub>s</sub>) in wards, and (P<sub>o</sub>δ<sub>s</sub>) out wards force of (P - P<sub>o</sub>)δ<sub>s</sub>.
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This force may be resolved into component $(\delta_z)$ and $(\delta_x)$ acting parallel to the (oz) and (ox) axis respectively then:
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\[ C_{Mz} = + \int_{0}^{c} [C_{p} - C_{R}] \left( \frac{x}{c} \right) d \left( \frac{x}{c} \right) \]

\[ = - \int_{0}^{c} \Delta C_{p} \left( \frac{x}{c} \right) d \left( \frac{x}{c} \right) \] \quad \text{.........}(9)

Since

\[ C_{M} = \frac{M}{1/2 \rho \cdot v^{2} \cdot S \cdot c} = \frac{M}{1/2 \rho \cdot v^{2} \cdot C^{2}} \]

where \( S = c \) in this case similarly, the contribution to \( C_{M} \) due to the force may be obtained as:

\[ C_{Mx} = \int_{z \leq c}^{z = c} \Delta C_{p} \left( \frac{z}{c} \right) \cdot d \left( \frac{z}{c} \right) \] \quad \text{.........}(10)

The integration given above are normally performed graphically. The force coefficient \( (C_{x}) \) and \( (C_{z}) \) are parallel and perpendicular to the chord line where as the more usual coefficient \( (C_{L}) \) and \( (C_{D}) \) are referred to the air direction. The conversion from one pair to the other may be performed by reference to Fig. (2), in which \( C_{R} \), the coefficient of the resultant both of \( C_{x} \) and \( C_{z} \) and \( C_{L} \) and \( C_{D} \) and therefore from Fig (2) now \[ 2 \]::

\[ C_{L} = C_{R} \cos(\gamma + \alpha) \]

\[ = C_{R} \cos \gamma \cdot \cos \alpha - C_{R} \sin \gamma \cdot \sin \alpha \]

And

\[ C_{R} \cos \gamma = C_{x} \text{ and } C_{R} \sin \gamma = C_{z} \]

Where

\[ C_{x} = C_{z} \cos \gamma - C_{s} \sin \alpha \] \quad \text{.........}(11)

Similarly

\[ C_{p} = C_{z} \sin(\gamma + \alpha) \]

\[ = C_{z} \sin \alpha + C_{s} \cos \alpha \] \quad \text{.........}(12)

Since

\[ C_{p} = \frac{P - P_{w}}{q_{\infty}} \] \quad \text{.........}(13)

Where \( q_{\infty} = \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} v^{2} \) Then

\[ C_{p} = \frac{P_{u} - P_{w}}{q_{\infty}} \] \quad \text{.........}(14)

Also

\[ C_{p_{L}} = \frac{P_{L} - P_{w}}{q_{\infty}} \] \quad \text{.........}(15)

Where \((P)\) represented by \((h)\) experimentally.

**Subsonic Wind Tunnel**

The subsonic wind tunnel used in current research is an open circuit type with a working cross section of \((300 \text{mm} \times 300 \text{mm})\) as schematically shown in Fig.(5). Wind speeds of \((36 \text{m} / \text{sec.})\) are achievable allowing experiments on many aspects of incompressible air flow and subsonic aerodynamics to be performed at satisfactory Reynolds numbers. The tunnel has a smooth contraction fitted with the protective screen. The working section is constructed of clear Perspex with a cross section of \((300 \text{mm} \times 300 \text{mm})\) and a length of \((600 \text{mm})\), Figs. (6) and (7) represent photo of the wind tunnel and the working section respectively.

Downstream of the working section, a diffuser which leads to an axial flow fan which is driven by a \((5.6 \text{ kW})\) three phase A.C motor. The flow is controlled by a butterfly valve before exhausted to atmosphere through exhaust duct. The air enters the tunnel through carelly shaped diffuser. The working section giving full visibility of flow field and the model is supported from one side of the wall. At the downstream there is a pitot static tube. Fig. (8) Shows the pitot tube used here with the wind-tunnel working section, thus the pitot tube is fixed through wind tunnel ceiling. The boundary layer thickness
at the end wall of the wind tunnel test section was found by using the well known formulas for laminar and turbulent boundary layer growth respectively are as follows:-

\[
\delta_{\text{lam.}} = \frac{4.64 x}{\sqrt{\text{Re}_x}} \quad \text{(For laminar flow on flat surface)} \quad \ldots \ldots (16)
\]

\[
\delta_{\text{turb.}} = \frac{0.37 x}{\sqrt{\text{Re}_x}} \quad \text{(For turbulent flow on flat surface)} \quad \ldots \ldots (17)
\]

The boundary layer change from laminar to turbulent is regarded as sudden change at \((\text{Re}_x = 500000)\), so that turbulent boundary layer thickness was found to be about \((26\text{mm})\) at the test section exit which is acceptable and does not affect the test section a lot. The turbulence level at the working section was found \([3]\) to be acceptable.

Wind Tunnel Boundary Corrections:

The condition under which a model is tested in a wind tunnel are not the same as those in free air. The walls on the model thickness and wake are subjected to solid and wake blocking, solid and wake blocking are usually negligible with an open test section since the air stream is then free to expand in a normal manner Alan Pope \([4]\). Maskell \([5]\) suggests that the total solid and wake-blocking corrections are calculated as follows:-

\[
\frac{A_{\text{test}}}{{A_{\text{frontal Model}}}} = \frac{C_{\text{ps}}}{C_{\text{t}}} \quad \ldots \ldots (18)
\]

\[
\frac{A_{\text{model}}}{{A_{\text{test}}}^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \epsilon \quad \ldots \ldots (19)
\]

Where

\[
K_{\text{m}} : \text{Wing section Shape factor} = 0.74 \quad \text{for this model}
\]

\[
v_m : \text{Model Volume} = 0.7 \times C_t b
\]

\[
A : \text{Test section area} = (93025\text{mm}^2)
\]

Then the Overall Correction Coef. is:-

\[
\epsilon = \epsilon_{wb} + \epsilon_{sb} \quad \ldots \ldots (20)
\]

From This equation the \(\text{Re}_x\) correction is:-

\[
\text{Re}_x = \text{Re}_{x_{un}} (1 + \epsilon) \quad \ldots \ldots (21)
\]

And the lift coefficient correction is:-

\[
C_L = C_{L_{un}} \left(1 - \sigma - 2\epsilon\right) \quad \ldots \ldots (22)
\]

Where

\[
\sigma = \left(\frac{\pi^2}{48} \frac{C}{h_t} \right) \quad \ldots \ldots (23)
\]

Also the drag coef. correction is:-

\[
C_d = C_{d_{un}} \left(1 - 3\epsilon_{sb} - 2\epsilon_{wb}\right) \quad \ldots \ldots (24)
\]

Finally the angle of attack correction is:-
\[ \alpha = \alpha_{un} + \left( \frac{57.3 \sigma}{2\pi} \right) \left( C_{L_{un}} + 4C_{m_{1}} \right) \]

Where the symbol \( \alpha_{un} \) represents uncorrected reads.

**The Wing Section Modeling**

A model of wood of the (NACA 23015) had been built locally; the model as we know is un-symmetric, i.e. the upper surface is different from the lower one. The data for this section were taken from NACA's lists of wings section [9],[10]. And its coordinates is as listed as in (Table 1).

The wing section model specification was, the cord (\( C = 150\text{mm} \)) and thickness ratio of (15%) ,the section length (\( b = 305\text{mm} \)). Static pressure taps have been made inside the both sections ,these bores were distributed to 10 bores for each section but unequally on both sections and the bigger numbers distributed at the front of the both sections fig.(3).

Inside the taps a stainless steel pressure pipes were fixed with inner diameter of (0.7mm) and outer diameter of (1mm).

**Pitot-Static Tube Corrections**

In this research we used a standard pitot-static tube with standard elliptical head shape (N.P.L Standard) to measure airspeed inside the wind tunnel. Where it's diameter was (5mm) and (200mm) long .And the corrections for the pitot-static tube was as[6][7]:-

\[ \Delta P = (\rho H_{p})_{\text{water}} g \]

\[ = P_{\text{total}} - P_{\text{static}} = \frac{1}{2} E \rho u_{\infty}^2 \]

\[ E = E_{o} + \omega + \Omega \]

\[ \Omega \] : Correction factor

\[ E_{o} \] : Represent the effect of distance of static pressure inlet (\( E_{o}=0.9976 \))

\[ \omega \] : Viscosity effect and it's equal to zero for fully developed flow

\[ \Omega \] : The effect of the distance from the tube to the wall and it could be taken from the curve in fig.(8)

**The Multi Tubes Manometers**

A standard multi tubes manometer had been used to measure the pressure Fig. (7), this type of manometers used liquid water because we work in subsonic criteria. The manometer connected with the stainless steel pressure tubes of the wing section model by a rubber snout.

**Effects of Changing Angles of Attack**

By changing the angle of attack we found its effects on the aerodynamic characteristics coef. for the section NACA 23015. At the beginning the calculation were performed for the range of angle of attack of (-10° ~ 20°) but we found that the effecting angles for this section lies between (-9°) and (15°) angles of attack, so to reduce the data and calculations the results will be presented for this range of. Figures (9,10,11) shows that (\( C_{p_{u}} \)) was decreased while (\( C_{p_{l}} \)) was increased also the increment in (\( C_{p_{u}} \)) was much higher than that for the lower surface due to the positive increment in (\( \alpha \)) while in Figs.(12,13) the case was reversed, yet the increments between the upper and lower surface were less than the 1st case ,which gives as an indications that the upper surface of the wing section is more importance than the lower one, i.e. the flow stream variation for the upper surface is much effective on the aerodynamic
characteristics than the lower surface, and for that reason all or most of the developments on airplane wings to increase or reduce the boundary layer separation were applied on the upper surface of the wing, also we could know why they construct the engines of the airplane on the lower surface of the wings.

Figures (14, 15 and 16) show the aerodynamics coefficients through different angles of attack and for both experimental and theoretical results from the Design Foil program. Anyway the results were convergent and the experimental data is accurate and acceptable.

The results of (Ansys Ver.9) Figures (17 to 22) show the variation of pressure coefficient among the wing section model the figures shows clearly the increment of pressure coefficient on the leading edge of the wing, also they show that the pressure coefficient at the upper surface of the wing was smaller than the lower surface at the positive angles of attack while in the negative angles the case is reverse which is agree with the experimental results of the W.T and shows a great similar in value with that of the Design Foil program and which make as conclude that the experimental result were accurate and acceptable.

Then the whole results were compared with those which had been taken from the program Design Foil and (Ansys.9), the accuracy of the experimental work was high were the difference was limited because the percentage of identification was 83% after comparing the excremental results with the packages one, which give us an indication that the experimental data was acceptable.

Conclusions

From this research we conclude:-
1-The values of \( C_{p_u}, C_{L}, C_{d} \) is increased with increasing angle of attack.
2-The value of \( C_{p_L}, C_{m} \) is decreased with increasing angle of attack.
3-The critical angle of attack in the positive position for this wing section model (NACA 23015) is \( 15^\circ \), Fig. (14) Illustrates that after the angle \( 15^\circ \) a drop in \( C_{L} \) occurs, while the critical angle of attack in the Negative position for this wing section model (NACA 23015) is \( 9^\circ \).
4-The separation of the boundary layer at both upper and lower surface of the wing section model (NACA 23015) begins with the increasing or decreasing of the angle of attack and its effects at the upper surface are more than the lower one which is why most ways of controlling separation and boundary layer designed at the upper section of the wings and also because of little effect of separated boundary layer at the lower section of the wing, most airplanes put its engines there.
5-The stole area (i.e. the area without any boundary layer and any movement of flaps at these areas do nothings) at the upper surface which illustrated as a red area in Fig. (11) Starting at the angle of attack equal to \( 15^\circ \), while in the lower surface it begins at the angle of \( 9^\circ \).
6-The Study recommend to investigate the effects of changing of the aerodynamics characteristic due to change in angles of attack on the structure of the wing section model (NACA 23015), also study the performance, Stability and controllability for this section.
7-Also we recommended investigating the aerodynamic characteristics of this section for transonic speed with angles changing.
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Table (1) The Coordinates of the wing section model NACA23015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Upper Surface</th>
<th>Lower Surface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X (mm)</td>
<td>Y (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.875</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.835</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>11.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.46</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>13.38</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>13.62</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>13.575</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>12.885</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>11.61</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>9.915</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>7.875</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.595</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142.5</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>142.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2) The C_{p} of W.T. results at V = 36 m/s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>x/c</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α = -9°</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>-0.212</td>
<td>-0.433</td>
<td>-0.616</td>
<td>-0.837</td>
<td>-1.006</td>
<td>-0.913</td>
<td>-0.867</td>
<td>-0.695</td>
<td>-0.588</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α = 3°</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>-0.515</td>
<td>-1.029</td>
<td>-1.417</td>
<td>-1.423</td>
<td>-1.381</td>
<td>-1.212</td>
<td>-0.951</td>
<td>-0.786</td>
<td>-0.685</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α = 0°</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>-0.749</td>
<td>-1.254</td>
<td>-1.628</td>
<td>-1.501</td>
<td>-1.423</td>
<td>-1.325</td>
<td>-1.102</td>
<td>-0.864</td>
<td>-0.812</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α = 6°</td>
<td>2.015</td>
<td>-2.730</td>
<td>-2.741</td>
<td>-2.442</td>
<td>-2.266</td>
<td>-1.856</td>
<td>-1.511</td>
<td>-1.356</td>
<td>-0.988</td>
<td>-0.884</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α = 15°</td>
<td>2.655</td>
<td>-3.126</td>
<td>-3.723</td>
<td>-3.568</td>
<td>-3.223</td>
<td>-2.875</td>
<td>-1.895</td>
<td>-1.421</td>
<td>-1.095</td>
<td>-0.971</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (3) The C_{pl} of W.T. results at V=36 m/s
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x/c$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha = -9^\circ$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.221</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.312</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.544</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha = -3^\circ$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.487</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.936</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha = 0^\circ$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.252</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.281</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha = 6^\circ$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha = 15^\circ$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.365</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table (4) $C_L$, $C_D$, $C_m$ at V=36 m/s for both Theoretical from Design Foil and Experimental from W.T.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental</th>
<th>$\alpha = 0^\circ$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 6^\circ$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 15^\circ$</th>
<th>$\alpha = -3^\circ$</th>
<th>$\alpha = -9^\circ$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_L$</td>
<td>0.1535418</td>
<td>0.9047896</td>
<td>2.149586</td>
<td>-0.2756887</td>
<td>-0.9491458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_D$</td>
<td>0.0091578</td>
<td>0.0321457</td>
<td>0.0263564</td>
<td>0.0122145</td>
<td>0.0181544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_m$</td>
<td>-0.0245876</td>
<td>-0.0414578</td>
<td>-0.0814587</td>
<td>0.0055478</td>
<td>0.0352634</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theoretical</th>
<th>$\alpha = 0^\circ$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 6^\circ$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 15^\circ$</th>
<th>$\alpha = -3^\circ$</th>
<th>$\alpha = -9^\circ$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_L$</td>
<td>0.1442345</td>
<td>0.8151023</td>
<td>1.859238</td>
<td>-0.1995871</td>
<td>-0.862580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_D$</td>
<td>0.0074351</td>
<td>0.0121346</td>
<td>0.0165014</td>
<td>0.0088245</td>
<td>0.0155984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_m$</td>
<td>0.0104507</td>
<td>-0.0335472</td>
<td>-0.076548</td>
<td>-0.0026442</td>
<td>0.0227267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure (1) Section of an airfoil at incidence $\alpha$ to the fluid stream, which is assumed to be from left to right at a speed of ($v$).

Figure (2) The conversion from one pair to the other may be performed by reference in which $C_R$, the coefficient of the resultant both of $C_x$, $C_z$ and of $C_L$ and $C_D$.

Figure (3) The Model of Section of NACA 23015 showing the pressure tubes inside the wing
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Figure (5) Wind Tunnel Dimension [all dimensions in mm]

Figure (6) Wind Tunnel Device (Open Circuit) Side view
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Figure (7) Working Section of Wind Tunnel and the Model Mounted

Figure (8) Pitot Static Tube and the correction factor curve
Figure (9) The relationship between the $C_{Pu}$ & $C_{PL}$, for both theoretical and experimental and $x/c$ for $\alpha = 0^\circ$, $V = 36$ m/s from Design Foil Program

Figure (10) The relationship between the $C_{Pu}$ & $C_{PL}$, for both theoretical and experimental and $x/c$ for $\alpha = 6^\circ$, $V = 36$ m/s from Design Foil Program
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Figure (11) The relationship between the $C_{Pu}$ & $C_{PL}$, for both theoretical and experimental and $x/c$ for $\alpha = 15^\circ$, $V = 36$ m/s from Design Foil Program

Figure (12) The relationship between the $C_{Pu}$ & $C_{PL}$, for both theoretical and experimental and $x/c$ for $\alpha = -3^\circ$, $V = 36$ m/s from Design Foil Program
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Figure (13) The relationship between the $C_{pu}$ & $C_{pl}$, for both theoretical and experimental and $x/c$ for $\alpha = -9^\circ$, $V = 36$ m/s from Design Foil Program

Figure (14) The Lift Coefficient $C_L$ for both Theoretical form Design Foil and Experimental from W.T. at different Angles of attack and Velocity of 36 m/s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle $\alpha$ [deg]</th>
<th>W.T Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>36 m/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CL: -0.862580
CM(1/4c): 0.022726
CD: 0.0155984
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Figure (15) The Drag Coefficient $C_d$ for both Theoretical form Design Foil and Experimental from W.T. at different Angles of attack and Velocity of 36 m/s

Figure (16) The Moment Coefficient $C_m$ for both Theoretical form Design Foil and Experimental from W.T. at different Angles of attack and Velocity of 36 m/s
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Figure (17) The Pressure Coefficient $C_p$ for both Tip & Tail of the section at Angle of attack = 15° & Velocity of 36 m/s

Figure (18) The Mach No. for both Tip & Tail of the section at Angle of attack = 15° & Velocity of 36 m/s

Figure (19) The Pressure Coefficient $C_p$ for both Tip & Tail of the section at Angles of attack = 0° & Velocity of 36 m/s

Figure (20) The Mach No. for both Tip & Tail of the section at Angles of attack = 0° & Velocity of 36 m/s
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Figure (21) The Pressure Coefficient $C_p$ for both Tip & Tail of the section at Angles of attack $= -9^\circ$ & Velocity of 36 m/s

Figure (22) The Mach No. for both Tip & Tail of the section at angle of attack $= -9^\circ$ & Velocity of 36 m/s