# Chebyshev Polynomials and Spectral Method for Optimal Control Problem <br> Dr. Suha Najeeb Shihab*\& Jabbar Abed Eleiwy* <br> Received on:18/12/2008 <br> Accepted on: 4/6/2009 


#### Abstract

This paper presents efficient algorithms which are based on applying the idea of spectral method using the Chebyshev polynomials: including Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind and shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. New properties of Chebyshev polynomials are derived to facilitate the computations throughout this work. In addition the convergence criteria for the proposed algorithms are derived. The use of the three algorithms has been demonstrated with example.
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الخلاصة
هذا البحث يقدم خوارزميات كفو عة والتي استتدت على تطبيق فكرة طريقـــة الطيــف
بأستخدام متعددات حدود شييشف: و والمتضمنة : متنعددات حدود شيبيشف مــن النــو ع الأول، متعددات حدود شبشف من النوع الثاني، متعددات حدود شيبششف المز احة مــن النــو ع الأول . أشتشت بعض الخواص الجديدة لمتعددات حدود شييششف لتسهيل الحسابات.
أضافه إلى ذلك، اشنقت صيغة الاقتر اب للخوارزميات اللقترحة، وأستخدام الخوارزميات الثالثة

## 1. Introduction

Optimal control theory arises from the consideration of physical systems, which are required to achieve a definite objective as cheap as possible. The translation of the design objectives into a mathematical model gives rise to control problem.

Optimal control is an important branch of mathematics and the applications for it abound in engineering, science and economics [1], [2], [3], and [7].

Optimal control is important in a large number of applications, and
successful implementations have been reported in the literature. In particular the well known quadratic optimal control QOC problems have found wide acceptance.

The work throughout this paper is concerned with the QOC problems and is associated with finite time of minimizing a performance index subject to the linear control dynamics.

The LQOC problem can be stated as follows:
Find the OC that minimizes the quadratic performance index

$$
J=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{f}}\left(x^{T} Q x+u^{T} R u\right) d t
$$

subject to the linear system state equations
satisfying the initial conditions
where

$$
A(t) \in \mathfrak{R}^{n} \times \mathfrak{R}^{n},
$$

$$
B(t) \in \mathfrak{R}^{n} \times \mathfrak{R}^{m}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{R}^{n}
$$ $u \in \mathfrak{R}^{m}, Q$ is an $n \times n$ positive semi definite matrix , $x^{T} Q x \geq 0$, and $R$ is a $m \times m$ positive definite matrix,

$$
u^{T} R u>0 \text { unless } u(t)=0
$$

There are a great number of papers that present approximate and numerical methods for finding optimal controls [4], [6],[8],[11] and [13].

In this work, three kinds of Chebyshev Polynomials will be used with the aid of the spectral method to find the approximate solutions for the linear optimal control problem.
2. Chebyshev Polynomials and Their Properties

There are several kinds of Chebyshev Polynomials. In particular we shall introduce the first and second kind polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ and $U_{n}(x)$, as well as the shifted polynomials $T_{n}^{*}(x)$.

### 2.1 The First Kind Chebyshev

 Polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ [11]The Chebyshev Polynomial $T_{n}(x)$ of the first kind is a
polynomial in $x$ of degree $n$, defined by the relation $T_{n}(x)=\cos n \theta$ when $x=\cos \theta$ , $x \in[-1,1], \theta \in[0, \pi]$

The important Properties of $T_{n}(t)$ are: $\quad \delta=A x+B u$

- The fundamental recurrence relation of Chebyshev polynomial can be obtained as follows

$$
x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}
$$

$T_{n}(x)=2 x T_{n-1}(x)-T_{n-2}(x), \quad n=2,3, \ldots$
where
$T_{0}(x)=1, \quad T_{1}(x)=x$

- The Chebyshev product formula is

$$
T_{m}(x) T_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{m+n}(x)+T_{|m-n|}(x)\right)
$$

- The Chebyshev integral is
$\int T_{n}(x) d x= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{T_{n+1}(x)}{n+1}-\frac{T_{|n-1|}(x)}{n-1}\right], & n \neq 1 \\ \frac{1}{4} T_{2}(x), & n=1\end{cases}$
- The Chebyshev derivative is $\frac{d}{d x} T_{n}(x)=2 n \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ n-r o d d}}^{n-1} T_{r}(x)$

The differentiation operation matrix of Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $D_{T}$ can be given as follows


In the previous matrix it is assumed that $n$ odd .However, if $n$ is even then the last row of $D_{T}$ becomes $\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & 2 m & 0 & 2 m & 0 & 2 m & \mathrm{~L}\end{array}\right]$ 2.2 The Second Kind Chebyshev Polynomials $U_{n}(x)$ [5]

The Chebyshev polynomial $U_{n}(x)$ of the second kind is a polynomial of degree $n$ in $x$ defined by:

$$
U_{n}(t) U_{m}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} U_{m+n-2 i}(t)
$$

## Proof:

The mathematical induction is used to prove this lemma. In order to establish the validity of this lemma, the following steps are needed:

> i) prove that the lemma is true for $n=0, n=1$ i.e
> $U_{0} U_{m}=\frac{\sin \theta}{\sin \theta} \cdot \frac{\sin (m+1) \theta}{\sin \theta}=\frac{\sin (m+1) \theta}{\sin \theta}=U_{m}$
$U_{n}(x)=\frac{\sin (n+1) \theta}{\sin \theta} \quad$ when $\quad x=\cos \theta \quad U_{1} U_{m}=\frac{\sin 2 \theta}{\sin \theta} \cdot \frac{\sin (m+1) \theta}{\sin \theta}$

$$
=2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \cdot[\sin (m+2) \theta+\sin m \theta]
$$

$$
=\frac{\sin (m+2) \theta}{\sin \theta}+\frac{\sin m \theta}{\sin \theta}
$$

$$
=U_{m+1}+U_{m-1}
$$

and
ii) for fixed $n-1$, assume that lemma (1) is true.
Then prove that lemma (1) is true for

$U_{n}(x)=2 x U_{n-1}(x)-U_{n-2}(x), \quad n=2,3, \ldots U_{n}=2 x U_{n-1}^{i=0}-U_{n-2}$
with the initial conditions $U_{0}(x)=1, \quad U_{1}(x)=2 x$

- New interesting formula concerning the product of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind has been derived and given by the following lemma


## Lamma (1):

The product of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $U_{n} U_{m}$ is given by

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{n} U_{m} & =\left(2 x U_{n-1}-U_{n-2}\right) U_{m} \\
& =2 x U_{n-1} U_{m}-U_{n-2} U_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
$U_{n} U_{m}=2 x \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U_{m+n-1-2 i}-\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} U_{m+n-2-2 i}$
since $x=\cos \theta$, this yields the following result

$$
U_{n} U_{m}=2 \cos \theta \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\sin (m+n-2 i)}{\sin \theta}-\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \frac{\sin (m+n-1-2 i)}{\sin \theta}
$$

By expanding the above formula, we
These polynomials can be get:
generated by noting $T_{0}^{*}(x)=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{n} U_{m}=\left(2 \cos \theta \cdot \frac{\sin (m+n)}{\sin \theta}-\frac{\sin (m+n-1)}{\sin \theta}\right)+\left(2 c \Phi_{1}^{\sin \theta(x)=2 x} \sin (m+n-2)\right. \\
&+\left(2 \cos \theta \cdot \frac{\sin (m+n-1)}{\sin \theta}-\frac{\sin (m-n+2}{\sin \theta}\right)+\ldots \\
& \text { The important properties of } \\
&)_{n}^{*}(x) \text { is } T_{n}^{*}(x)=2(2 x-1) T_{n-1}^{*}(x)-T_{n-2}^{*}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, simplyfing the result to get the required result.

- The differentiation operational matrix of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $D_{u}$ can be given by
$D_{U}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~L} & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~L} & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~L} & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~L} & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~L} & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 10 & 0 & \mathrm{~L} & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 12 & \mathrm{~L} & 0 \\ \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{M} \\ 2 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 10 & 0 & \mathrm{~L} & 2 n\end{array}\right]$
In the previous matrix it is assumed that $n \quad$ is odd.
However, if $n$ is even then the last row of $D_{U}$ becomes
$\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}0 & 4 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 12 & 0 & \mathrm{~L} & 2 n\end{array}\right]$

The integral of $U_{n}(x)$ is $\int U_{n}(x) d x=\frac{1}{n+1} \cdot T_{n+1}(x)+$ cons $\tan t$ 2.3 The First Kind Shifted Chebyshev Polynomial $T_{n}^{*}(x)$ [5]

The shifted chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}^{*}(t)$ are defined in the interval $x \in[0,1] \quad$ as $T_{n}^{*}(x)=T_{n}(t)=T_{n}(2 x-1)$

- The recurrence relation
- The product of two shifted Chebyshev polynomials is

$$
T_{n}^{*}(t) T_{m}^{*}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{n+m}^{*}(t)+T_{|n-m|}^{*}(t)\right)
$$

- The differentiation operation matrix of shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $D_{T}^{*}$ is
$D_{T^{*}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~L} & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~L} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~L} & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~L} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 16 & 0 & 16 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~L} & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 20 & 0 & 20 & 0 & \mathrm{~L} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{M} \\ 0 & 4(m-2) & 0 & 4(m-2) & 0 & 4(m-2) & \mathrm{L} & 0 & 0 \\ 2(m-1) & 0 & 4(m-1) & 0 & 4(m-1) & 0 & \mathrm{~L} & 4(m-1) & 0\end{array}\right]$

The matrix $D_{T^{*}}$ is an $(m \times m)$ matrix. Note that the matrix is square exactly because the derivative of a polynomial is a polynomial of lower degree.

The matrix has a row of zeros because the derivative of a constant is zero.

## 3. Spectral Method for OCP

In this method the solution is assumed to be a finite linear combination of some sets of analytic basis functions. However, as the number of basis functions increases we might be able to get more accurate solution to QOC problems. The most important practical issue regarding such method is the choice,
type, of the basis functions $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}$. Throughout the work, in this chapter, the basis functions that will be used are: Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$, Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ and shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $\left\{T^{*}{ }_{i}\right\}$.

The spectral method for a finite LQOCP is described as follows:

- Write the necessary conditions to determine the optimal solution of the finite LQOCP
$\Leftrightarrow=A x-\frac{1}{2} B R^{-1} B^{T} \lambda$
$\lambda \mathcal{Q}=-2 Q x-A^{T} \lambda$
$u=-\frac{1}{2} R^{-1} B^{T} \lambda$
with the initial conditions $x(0)=x_{0}$ and the final conditions $\lambda\left(t_{f}\right)=0$.
- Choose a set of state and adjoint variables and then approximate them by using a finite length series $\phi_{i}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad x_{j}(t) \approx x_{j}^{N}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{N} a_{i j} \phi_{i}(t), \\
& \lambda_{j}(t) \approx \lambda_{j}^{N}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{N} b_{i j} \phi_{i}(t) \\
& ; j=1,2, \mathrm{~K}, q
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining $2(n-q)$ state and adjoint variables are obtained from the system state and the system adjoint equations.

- Form the $q(2 N \times 2 N)$ system of linear algebric equations of the unknown parameters $a_{i j}$ and $b_{i j}$; $i=1,2, \mathrm{~K}, N, j=1,2, \mathrm{~K}, q$, from the unused state and adjoint equations as well as from the initial and final conditions. That is the $q(2 N \times 2 N)$ system of equations can be formed from the equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{K}_{j}(t)=A x_{i}-\frac{1}{2} B R^{-1} B^{T} \lambda_{i} \\
& \lambda_{j}(t)=-2 Q x_{i}-A^{T} \lambda_{i} \\
& j=q+1, q+2, \mathrm{~K}, n ; \quad i=1,2, \mathrm{~K}, n
\end{aligned}
$$

with the conditions $\quad x_{j}(0)=x_{0}$ and $\lambda_{j}\left(t_{f}\right)=0 ; j=1,2, \mathrm{~K}, n$
The approximations for the state variables $x_{j}^{N}(t)$ and the adjoint variables $\lambda_{j}^{N}(t) ; j=1,2, \mathrm{~K}, n$, can be written in a matrix form
$\left(\begin{array}{c}x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ x_{n}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}a_{01} & a_{11} & a_{21} & \mathrm{~L} & a_{N 1} \\ a_{02} & a_{12} & a_{22} & \mathrm{~L} & a_{N 2} \\ \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{M} & & & \mathrm{M} \\ a_{0 n} & a_{1 n} & a_{2 n} & \mathrm{~L} & a_{n N}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\phi_{0} \\ \phi_{1} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \phi_{N}\end{array}\right)$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\lambda_{1} \\
\lambda_{2} \\
\mathrm{M} \\
\lambda_{n}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
b_{01} & b_{11} & b_{21} & \mathrm{~L} & b_{N 1} \\
b_{02} & b_{12} & b_{22} & \mathrm{~L} & b_{N 2} \\
\mathrm{M} & \mathrm{M} & & & \mathrm{M} \\
b_{0 n} & b_{1 n} & b_{2 n} & \mathrm{~L} & b_{n N}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\phi_{0} \\
\phi_{1} \\
\mathrm{M} \\
\phi_{N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The two matrices can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\alpha \phi \text { and } \lambda=\beta \phi \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating the systems with respect to $t$ to obtain

$$
\lambda^{\alpha}=\beta \phi^{\alpha=\alpha \phi^{\alpha}}
$$

where the matrix $D_{\phi}$ is the differentiation operational matrix of the basis functions $\phi$.

Now, the approximations (2) and their derivatives (3) are inserted into eqns. (1) and equate the coefficient of the bases functions $\phi_{i}$ to yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha D_{\phi} \phi=A \alpha \phi-\frac{1}{2} B R^{-1} B^{T} \beta \phi \\
& \beta D_{\phi} \phi=-2 Q \alpha \phi-A^{T} \beta \phi \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Both the initial conditions and the final conditions can also be expressed using the basis functions $\phi \quad$ at $\quad t=0 \quad$ and $\quad t=t_{f}$ respectively to obtain the equations

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{i=0}^{N} a_{i j} \phi_{i}(0)=x_{0} & \sum_{i=0}^{N} b_{i j} \phi_{i}\left(t_{f}\right)=0 \\
; \quad j=1,2, \mathrm{~K}, n & \ldots(5) \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

The resulting system which we obtain from equations (4),(5) can be solved by using Gauss elimination procedure, with pivoting,
to find the unknown parameters $a_{i j}$ and $\quad b_{i j} ; \quad i=1,2, \mathrm{~K}, n \quad$; $j=1,2, \mathrm{~K}, q$.

- Approximate the performance index
$J^{*}=\int_{0}^{t_{f}}\left(\phi^{T} \alpha^{T} Q \alpha \phi+\phi^{T} \gamma^{T} R \gamma \phi\right) d t$
where $J^{*}$ is the approximate value of ${ }_{J}$.
Let $\quad \alpha^{T} Q \alpha=M \quad$ and $\quad \gamma^{T} R \gamma=S$, then

$$
J^{*}=\int_{0}^{t_{f}}\left(\phi^{T} M \phi+\phi^{T} S \phi\right) d t
$$

Now, the numerical solution has been obtained by using three types of basis functions $\phi_{i} ;$

$$
i=0,1, \mathrm{~K}, N .\left(T_{i}(t), U_{i}(t), T_{i}^{*}(t)\right)
$$

## 4. The Convergence Test for the proposed Algorithms:[5]

In the spectral method, the state and adjoint variables are expanded interms of a set of orthogonal functions (basis set) or at least linearly independed set,
$\lambda_{i}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{i k} \phi_{k}(t) x_{i}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{i k} \phi_{k}(t)$
$i=1,2, \mathrm{~K}, n$
It is not possible to perform computations on an infinite number of terms, therefore; we must truncate the above series. That is we take

$$
x_{i N}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{i k} \phi_{k}(t) \quad \text { and }
$$

$$
\lambda_{i N}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} b_{i k} \phi_{k}(t)
$$

so that

$$
x_{i}(t)=x_{i N}(t)+\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} a_{i k} \phi_{k}(t)=x_{i N}(t)+r_{i}(t)
$$

we must select coefficients such that the norm of the residual function $\|r(t)\|$ is less than some convergence criterion $\varepsilon \quad, \quad$ where $r(t)=\max \left(r_{1}(t), r_{2}(t), \mathrm{K}, r_{N}(t)\right)$.

Now we will return to the question of how large $N$ must be later. There is a convergence test
that must be used with spectral method. It is to do with the number of terms kept in the basis set $N$. The most useful test of convergence in terms of $N$ comes from examining the $L^{2}$ norm of $x_{i}$ and $\lambda_{i}$, $i=1,2, \mathrm{~K}, n$ (the state and adjoint variables that is approximated), i.e.,
$\left[\int_{a}^{b}\left(x_{i}(t)-x_{i N}(t)\right)^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon_{i}$
and
$\left[\int_{a}^{b}\left(\lambda_{i}(t)-\lambda_{i N}(t)\right)^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon_{i}$,
Let $\quad \varepsilon=\max \left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \mathrm{~K}, \varepsilon_{n}\right)$, therefore

$$
\left[\int_{a}^{b}\left(x(t)-x_{N}(t)\right)^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon \quad \text { and }
$$

$$
\left[\int_{a}^{b}\left(x(t)-x_{N}(t)\right)^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon
$$

for all $N$ greater than some value $N_{0}$. Since we do not know $x(t)$ and $\lambda(t)$, we replace the presumably better approximation $x_{N+M}(t)$ and $\lambda_{N+M}(t)$, where $M \geq 1$

$$
\left[\int_{a}^{b}\left(x_{N+M}(t)-x_{N}(t)\right)^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon \quad \text { and }
$$

$$
\left[\int_{a}^{b}\left(x_{N+M}(t)-x_{N}(t)\right)^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon
$$

4.1 The Convergence Test for Spectral Method Using $T_{n}(t)$ [9]

If Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind are used to approximate both the state and adjoint variables, we will get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{i}(t)=\lambda_{i N}(t)+\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} b_{i k} \phi_{k}(t)=\lambda_{i N}(t)+r_{i}(t) \\
{\left[\int_{-1}^{1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N+M} a_{i} T_{i}(t)-\sum_{i=0}^{N} a_{i} T_{i}(t)\right)^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon} \\
\Rightarrow \\
{\left[\int_{-1}^{1}\left(\sum_{i=N+1}^{N+M} a_{i} T_{i}(t)\right)^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon \quad i=1,2, \mathrm{~K}, n} \\
\Rightarrow \\
{\left[\int_{-1}^{1}\left(\sum_{i=N+1}^{N+M} a_{i} T_{i}(t)\right)\left(\sum_{i=N+1}^{N+M} a_{i} T_{i}(t)\right) d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon} \\
\Rightarrow \\
\sum_{i=N+1}^{N+M} \sum_{j=N+1}^{N+M} a_{i} a_{j} \int_{-1}^{1} T_{i}(t) T_{j}(t) d t<\varepsilon
\end{gathered}
$$

Since
$T_{i}(t) T_{j}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{i+j}(t)+T_{|i-j|}(t)\right)$
Therefore,
$\sum_{i=N+1}^{N+M} \sum_{j=N+1}^{N+M} a_{i} a_{j} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{2}\left(T_{i+j}(t)+T_{|i-j|}(t)\right) d t<\varepsilon$
4.2 The Convergence Test for Spectral Method Using $U_{n}(t)$

If Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind are used to approximate both the state and adjoint variables, we will get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\int_{-1}^{1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N+M} a_{i} U_{i}(t)-\sum_{i=0}^{N} a_{i} U_{i}(t)\right)^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon} \\
& \Rightarrow \\
& {\left[\int_{-1}^{1}\left(\sum_{i=N+1}^{N+M} a_{i} U_{i}(t)\right)^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow$
$\left[\int_{-1}^{1}\left(\sum_{i=N+1}^{N+M} a_{i} U_{i}(t)\right)\left(\sum_{i=N+1}^{N+M} a_{i} U_{i}(t)\right) d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon$
Therefore
$\sum_{i=N+1}^{N+M} \sum_{j=N+1}^{N+M} a_{i} a_{j} \int_{-1}^{1} U_{i}(t) U_{j}(t) d t<\varepsilon$
Since
$U_{i}(t) U_{j}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{i} U_{i+j-2 k}(t)$
Therefore,
$\sum_{i=N+1}^{N+M} \sum_{j=N+1}^{N+M} a_{i} a_{j} \int_{-1}^{1} \sum_{k=0}^{i} U_{i+j-2 k}(t) d t<\varepsilon$

### 4.3 The Convergence Test for

Spectral Method Using $T^{*}{ }_{n}(t)$

If shifted Chebyshev polynomials are used to approximate both the state and adjoint variables, we will get

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N+M} a_{i} T^{*} i(t)-\sum_{i=0}^{N} a_{i} T^{*} i(t)\right)^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon} \\
\Rightarrow
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{i=N+1}^{N+M} a_{i} T_{i}^{*}(t)\right)^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon
$$

$$
\Rightarrow
$$

$$
\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{i=N+1}^{N+M} a_{i} T^{*}{ }_{i}(t)\right)\left(\sum_{i=N+1}^{N+M} a_{i} T^{*}{ }_{i}(t)\right) d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon
$$

$$
\Rightarrow
$$

$$
\sum_{i=N+1}^{N+M} \sum_{j=N+1}^{N+M} a_{i} a_{j} \int_{0}^{1} T_{i}^{*}(t) T_{j}^{*}(t) d t<\varepsilon
$$

Since

$$
T_{i}^{*}(t) T_{j}^{*}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{i+j}^{*}(t)+T_{|i-j|}^{*}(t)\right)
$$

Therefore,
$\sum_{i=N+1}^{N+M} \sum_{j=N+1}^{N+M} a_{i} a_{j} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2}\left(T^{*}{ }_{i+j}(t)+T^{*}{ }_{|i-j|}(t)\right) d t<\varepsilon$

## Test Example

## Example (1):

Consider the finite time quadratic problem
Minimize

$$
J=\int_{0}^{1}\left(x^{2}+u^{2}\right) d t
$$

subject to $\quad \chi \mathbb{X}=u, x(0)=1$

The exact solution to this problem is given by

$$
x(t)=\frac{\cosh (1-t)}{\cosh 1}
$$

and
$u(t)=-\frac{\sinh (1-t)}{\cosh 1}$,
while the exact value of the performance index is $J=0.761594156$.when we using $T_{n}(t)$ and $U_{n}(t)$, the time interval $t \in[0,1]$ of the optimal control problem is transformed into the interval $\tau \in[-1,1]$ using the transformation $\tau=2 t-1$

This transforms the optimal control problem in example (1) into:
Minimize

$$
J=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(x^{2}+u^{2}\right) d \tau
$$

subject to $\&=\frac{1}{2} u \quad, \quad x(-1)=1$

In order to apply the spectral method, one first finds:

- The Hamittonian:
$H=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+u^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \lambda u$
- The adjoint equation: $\lambda \&=-x$
- The sufficient condition for optimality:

$$
\frac{\partial H}{\partial u}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u+\frac{1}{2} \lambda=0
$$

Therefore

$$
u=-\frac{1}{2} \lambda
$$

- The final system is: $\quad \&=-\frac{1}{4} \lambda$
, $\quad \lambda_{\lambda}^{\ell}=-x$
with the boundary conditions:
$x(-1)=1, \lambda(1)=0$

In order to apply the spectral method, one first finds:

- The Hamittonian:

The adjoint equation:
$H=x^{2}+u^{2}+\lambda u$

- Thesufficient condition for optimality:

$$
\frac{\partial H}{\partial u}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2 u+\lambda=0
$$

Therefore $\quad u=-\frac{1}{2} \lambda$

- The final system is:
$\alpha=-\frac{1}{2} \lambda, \quad \lambda^{\&}=-2 x$
with the boundary conditions: $x(0)=1, \quad \lambda(1)=0$


## 5. Discussion

The spectral methods have some advantages, some of these advantages are:

- The obtained solution using spectral methods can be implemented easy.
- In a simple way, equal number of equations and unknown parameters can be obtained, that is, square set of equations, so that, Gauss Eliminations, with pivoting, can be used to find the unknown parameters.
- An accurate approximation, using the above technique, depends on:
(i) The number of basis functions $\phi_{i}(t)$, i.e., as the order of the basis function increases, the approximate
performance value will converge to the optimal value when the following stopping criterion is satisfied:
$\left|J^{*}{ }_{i=N}-J^{*}{ }_{i=N+1}\right|<\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$
where $\varepsilon$ is a small prescribed value.
(ii) The type of the basis functions.
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Tables (1), (3) shows the approximate values for $J^{*}$ by applying the algorithms with $T_{N}(t), U_{N}(t)$ and $T_{N}{ }^{*}(t)$ for different values of $N$.

Table (1)
Approximate Values of $J^{*}$ With $T_{N}(t)$

| $N$ | $U$ sing $T_{N}(t)$ | $\left\|J_{\text {exact }}-J_{\text {app }}.\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.8168638732 | 0.0552446 |
| 4 | 0.7615879193 | 0.0000062 |
| 5 | 0.7615938058 | 0.0000003 |
| 6 | 0.7615941507 | 0.0000000 |
| 7 | 0.7615941507 | 0.0000000 |

Table (2)
Approximat e Values of $J^{*}$ With $U_{N}(t)$

| $N$ | Using $U_{N}(t)$ | $\left\|J_{\text {exact }}-J_{\text {app }}\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.7619934561 | 0.0003993 |
| 4 | 0.7616027555 | 0.0000086 |
| 5 | 0.7616261081 | 0.0000032 |
| 6 | 0.7615941686 | 0.0000000 |
| 7 | 0.7615941686 | 0.0000000 |

Table (3)
Approximat e Values of $J^{*}$ With $T_{N}{ }^{*}(t)$

| $N$ | $U \operatorname{sing} T_{N}{ }^{*}(t)$ | $\left\|J_{\text {exact }}-J_{\text {app } .}\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.7624202441 | 0.0008261 |
| 4 | 0.7615879192 | 0.0000062 |
| 5 | 0.7615937061 | 0.0000004 |
| 6 | 0.7615941663 | 0.0000000 |
| 7 | 0.7615941663 | 0.0000000 |

